

Delaware corporation; and DÖES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

CV11-06667-GHK(FMOx)

DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF

Diversity Jurisdiction and Jurisdiction Under The Class Action Fairness Act

[28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441, 1446 and 1453]

ORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP TTORNEYS AT LAW IRVINE

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF REMOVAL

DB2/22608986.2

Case 2: 11-cv-06667-GHK -FMO Document 1 Filed 08/12/11 Page 2 of 111 Page ID #:2

| 1 | | TABLE OF CONTENTS | | |
|----------|------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|--|
| 2 | | | m . | |
| 3 | I. | SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT | Page | |
| 4 | | A. Plaintiff's Claims | 2 | |
| | i : | B. Plaintiff's Request For Relief | | |
| 5 | II. | | | |
| 6 | III. | III. THIS COURT HAS ORIGINAL SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION | | |
| 7 · 8 | | A. This Court Has Diversity Jurisdiction Under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) | | |
| - | | 1. Complete Diversity of Citizenship Exists | | |
| 9 | | a. Plaintiff Is A Citizen of California | | |
| 10 | | b. Defendants Are Not Citizens Of California | 6 | |
| 11 | | 2. The Amount in Controversy Exceeds \$75,000 | | |
| 12 | | a. PAGA Penaltiesb. Attorneys' Fees | 10 | |
| | | b. Attorneys' Fees | 14 | |
| 13 | , | B. In The Alternative, This Court Has Original Subject Matter Jurisdiction Under CAFA | 15 | |
| 14 | | 1. Plaintiff's PAGA Suit Is A Class Action Under CAFA | | |
| 15 | | 2. Minimum Diversity Exists | 16 | |
| 16 | | 3. The Amount In Controversy Exceeds \$5 Million | | |
| 17 | IV. | VENUE | | |
| | V. | NOTICE | | |
| 18 | VI. | CONCLUSION | 18 | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | · | | | |
| 21 | | | • | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| ĺ | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | | | | |
| 40 | | | | |

DB2/22608986.2

| 1 2 | Rippee v. Boston Market Corp., 408 F. Supp. 2d 982 (S.D. Cal. 2005)8 | |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 3 | Sanchez v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., | |
| 4 | No. Civ. S-06-cv-2573 DFL KJM, 2007 WL 1345706 (E.D. Cal. May 8, 2007) | |
| 5 | Scherer v. Equitable Life Assurance Society of the United States, 347 F.3d 394 (2d Cir. 2003)8 | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 9 | Schiller v. David's Bridal, Inc., No. 1:10-cv-00616 AWI SKO, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 81128 (E.D. Cal. July 14, 2010)16 | |
| 10 11 | Singer v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 116 F.3d 373 (9th Cir. 1997) | |
| 12 | Thomas v. Aetna Health of California, Inc., No. 1:10-cv-01906-AWI-SKO, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 59377 (E.D. Cal. | |
| 13 | June 2, 2011) | |
| 1415 | Thompson v. At M I deijie Terminais, Lia., | |
| 16 17 | Tompkins v. Basic Research LL, No. CIV. S08244-LKK-DAD, 2008 WL 1808316 (E.D. Cal. Apr. 22, 2008) | |
| 18 19 20 | United Steel, Paper & Forestry, Rubber, Mfg., Energy, Allied Indus. & Serv. Workers Int'l Union, AFL-CIO, CLC v. Shell Oil Co., 602 F.3d 1087 (9th Cir. 2010) | |
| $\begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$ | Wachovia Bank, NA v. Schmidt, 546 U.S. 303 (2006) | |
| 23 | STATUTES | |
| 24 | 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1332(a), and 1332(d)(1)(B) | |
| 25 | 28 U.S.C. §§1332(d)(2) and 1332(d)(6) | |
| 26 | 28 U.S.C. § 13484, 7 | |
| 27 28 | 28 U.S.C. §1441(a), (b), (d) | |
| t t | | |

Case 2: 1-cv-06667-GHK -FMO Document 1 Filed 08/12/11 Page 5 of 111 Page ID #:5

| 1 | 28 U.S.C. §§ 1446 and 1453 | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 2 | Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 3409 | | | |
| 3 4 | Cal. Lab. Code § 203(a) | | | |
| 5 | Cal. Lab. Code §§201 and 2022 | | | |
| 6 | Cal. Lab. Code § 203 | | | |
| 7 | Cal. Lab. Code § 2043 | | | |
| 8 | Cal. Lab. Code § 21012 | | | |
| 9 Cal. Lab. Code § 226, 226(e) | | | | |
| 10 | Cal. Lab. Code §§ 226.7 and 5212 | | | |
| 11 12 | Cal. Lab. Code §§ 510 and 1198 | | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | Cal. Lab. Code §§2698 et seq. ("PAGA") passim | | | |
| 15 | Cal. Lab. Code §§ 2699(a), § 2699(f)(2), 2699.3, and 2699.5 | | | |
| 16 | OTHER AUTHORITIES | | | |
| 17 | Fed. R. Evid. 201(b)5 | | | |
| 18 | Fed. Rule Civ. P. 23 | | | |
| 19 20 | Senate Judiciary Report, S. REP. 109-1416 | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| . 26 | | | | |
| 28 | | | | |
| | | | | |

MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
IRVINE

2 3

COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA:

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441, 1446 and 1453, Defendants CHASE INVESTMENT SERVICES CORP., JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., and JPMORGAN CHASE & CO. ("Defendants" or "Chase")1 hereby remove the above-entitled action from the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of Los Angeles, to the United States District Court for the Central District of California. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's lawsuit under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) because complete diversity exists (Plaintiff is a California citizen, while defendants are not California citizens), and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000. In the alternative, this Court has original subject matter jurisdiction under the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA"), 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d) and 1453, because minimum diversity exists and the amount in controversy exceeds \$5 million.

I. SUMMARY OF COMPLAINT

Accordingly, removal is based on the following grounds:

Plaintiff's Claims.

Plaintiff Joseph Baumann ("Plaintiff") was employed by Defendant Chase Investment Services Corp. as a Financial Advisor Associate from June 2010 to January 2011. Ex. A, Compl. ¶ 17.2

The Complaint improperly names JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., (misnamed as JPMorgan Chase Bank) and JPMorgan Chase & Co. (misnamed as JP Morgan Chase & Co.) as defendants. Plaintiff was employed by Chase Investment Services Corp. only, and Defendants reserve all rights and defenses accordingly.

² Plaintiff agreed to binding arbitration with respect to any dispute arising out of or relating to his employment with Chase, and Chase intends to seek enforcement of that agreement. In cases where, as here, jurisdiction in federal court is proper, the federal court is the appropriate court to consider the propriety of arbitration. See Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. v. Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corp., 642 F.3d 849, 2011 U.S. App. LEXIS 12066, *12-13 (9th Cir. 2011) (stating that the Supreme Court has interpreted the FAA "to require district courts to consider the

On July 8, 2011, Plaintiff filed a Complaint for Enforcement of the Private Attorneys General Act, California Labor Code §§2698 et seq. ("PAGA"), in which he alleges that Chase engaged in a "systematic business practice and policy of misclassifying Plaintiff and other Financial Advisors as exempt from the requirements of the California Labor Code and the applicable IWC Wage Orders." Ex. A, Compl. ¶ 21. Plaintiff seeks PAGA penalties for each of the following alleged violations:

Deficient Wage Statements. Plaintiff alleges that he and other Financial Advisors did not receive complete and accurate wage statements, and that "[t]he deficiencies include, among other things, the failure to accurately list the total hours worked by Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees." Ex. A, Compl. ¶¶ 27, 45-46. Plaintiff further alleges that Chase failed to provide accurate wage statements in violation of Labor Code § 226. Ex. A, Compl. ¶¶ 40(d), 45.

Waiting Time Penalties. Plaintiff alleges that Chase failed to timely pay all wages earned (including overtime wages and missed meal and rest premiums) to him and other Financial Advisors upon discharge in violation of Labor Code §§201 and 202. Ex. A, Compl. ¶¶ 26 and 40(e), 46.

Meal and Rest Periods. Plaintiff alleges that he and other Financial Advisors did not receive meal or rest periods pursuant to the Labor Code "due to the flow of customers and high volume of work they had to complete at Defendants' banks." Compl. ¶¶ 42-43. Plaintiff thus claims that Chase failed to provide all meal and rest breaks to him and other aggrieved employees in violation of Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 521. Ex. A, Compl. ¶ 40(b).

Overtime Wages. Plaintiff alleges that while employed by Chase, he worked over 10 hours per day and approximately 52 to 58 hours per week. Ex. A, Compl. ¶ 21. Plaintiff alleges that Chase misclassified him and other aggrieved propriety of arbitration in cases where jurisdiction is proper").

.13

-21

employees and falsely represented to him that he was properly classified in order to avoid paying overtime wages. *Id.* Plaintiff alleges that he and other Financial Advisors were entitled to receive overtime wages, that they were not receiving such wages, and that Chase's alleged failure to compensate him and other aggrieved employees with all required overtime pay violates Labor Code §§ 510 and 1198. Ex. A, Compl. ¶¶ 22, 40(a), 41.

<u>Untimely Wage Payment</u>. Plaintiff alleges that Chase failed to timely pay all earned wages (including overtime wages and missed meal and rest premiums) to him and other Financial Advisors during employment in violation of Labor Code § 204. Ex. A, Compl. ¶¶ 25, 40(f), 47.

Business-Related Expenses. Plaintiff further alleges that he and other Financial Advisors were entitled to receive full reimbursement for all business-related expenses and costs they incurred in their employment, and that they did not receive such reimbursement. Ex. A, Compl. ¶ 28. Plaintiff thus claims that Chase failed to reimburse him and other Financial Advisors for necessary business-related expenses incurred in violation of Labor Code §§ 2800 and 2802. Ex. A, Compl. ¶¶ 40(c), 44.

B. Plaintiff's Request For Relief.

Plaintiff alleges that "PAGA provides that any civil penalty which may be assessed and collected by the LWDA [the California Labor Workforce Development Agency] for violation of the California Labor Code may, as an alternative, be recovered through a civil action brought against an aggrieved employee on behalf of himself and other current or former employees pursuant to procedures outlined in California Labor Code section 2699.3." Ex. A, Compl. ¶ 31. Plaintiff also alleges that "[p]ursuant to California Labor Code sections 2699(a), 2699.3, and 2699.5, [he] and all other aggrieved employees are entitled to recover civil penalties against Defendants, in addition to other remedies, for violations of

California Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 226(a), 226.7, 510, 512(a), 1198, 2800, and 2802." Ex. A, Compl. ¶ 48.

Plaintiff further claims that "[t]he amount in controversy for Plaintiff, including claims for civil penalties and pro rata share of attorneys' fees, is less than seventy five thousand dollars (\$75,000)." Ex. A, Compl. ¶ 2 (emphasis added). Plaintiff does not make any allegation regarding the amount in controversy for all aggrieved employees. Ex. A, Compl. In his Prayer for Relief, Plaintiff simply alleges that he is seeking "in excess of twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000)." Ex. A, Compl. Prayer for Relief ¶ 1. Plaintiff further prays "for civil penalties pursuant to California Labor Code sections 2699(a) and/or 2699(f) and (g), plus costs and attorneys' fees, for violations of California Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 226(a), 226.7, 510, 512(a), 1198, 2800, and 2802; and [f]or such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate." Ex. A, Compl., Prayer for Relief ¶¶ 3-4.

II. THE REMOVAL IS TIMELY

This Notice of Removal is timely filed, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b), because it is filed within thirty days of July 13, 2011 – the date on which Chase first was served with a copy of the Complaint. No previous notice of removal has been filed or made with this Court for the relief sought herein.

III. THIS COURT HAS ORIGINAL SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

A. This Court Has Diversity Jurisdiction Under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a).

The Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims because there is complete diversity and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000. Accordingly, diversity jurisdiction exists under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a).

1. Complete Diversity of Citizenship Exists.

A case may be heard in federal court under diversity jurisdiction if there is complete diversity, *i.e.*, all plaintiffs are diverse from all defendants. 28 U.S.C.

2
 3
 4

MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
IRVINE

§ 1332(a). Further, a defendant may remove an action to federal court under § 1332 provided no defendant is a citizen of the same state in which the action was brought. *See* 28 U.S.C. §1441(a), (b). Here, all such requirements are met because Plaintiff is a citizen of California, while none of the defendants is a citizen of California.

a. Plaintiff Is A Citizen of California.

"An individual is a citizen of the state in which he is domiciled . . ." Boon v. Allstate Ins. Co., 229 F. Supp. 2d 1016, 1019 (C.D. Cal. 2002) (citing Kanter v. Warner-Lambert Co., 265 F.3d 853, 857 (9th Cir. 2001)). For purposes of diversity of citizenship jurisdiction, citizenship is determined by the individual's domicile at the time that the lawsuit is filed. Armstrong v. Church of Scientology Int'l, 243 F.3d 546, 546 (9th Cir. 2000) (citing Lew v. Moss, 797 F.2d 747, 750 (9th Cir. 1986)). Plaintiff's Complaint alleges that he is a resident of Riverside County, California. Ex. A, Compl. ¶ 7. He is, therefore, a citizen of California for purposes of diversity jurisdiction.³

b. <u>Defendants Are Not Citizens Of California.</u>

For diversity determination purposes, all Defendants are diverse from Plaintiff because no Defendant is a citizen of California. Indeed, Plaintiff does not allege that any Defendant is a citizen of California. Ex. A, Compl. ¶¶ 8-12.

³ Moreover, Plaintiff's publicly-available FINRA registration and employment history shows that he has been employed in the state of California since at least 1989, which further establishes his domicile in California. *See* http://brokercheck.finra.org/Support/ReportViewer.aspx? SearchGroup=Individual&FirmKey=-1&BrokerKey=2803405&IndvlBCCtgry=1&IndvlIACtgry=1. FINRA records and corporate filings may be judicially noticed. *See* Fed. R. Evid. 201(b) (courts may take judicial notice of facts capable of determination by sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned); *see KZSA Broadcasting, Inc. v. Immaculate Heart Radio Ed. Broadcasting, Inc.*, No. 2:10-cv-01213-GEB-EFB, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 134287, *4-5 (E.D. Cal. Dec. 20, 2010) (corporate documents are matters of public record and may be judicially noticed). Plaintiff's Form U4 also confirms that he lived in the state of California from at least September 2000 through July 7, 2010, the date on which Plaintiff signed the Form. Ex. B, Cintron Decl. ¶ 6.

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is, and at all pertinent times was, a federally chartered national bank with Columbus, Ohio designated in its articles of association as the locus of its main office. *See* Ex. D, Articles of Association for JPMorgan Chase Bank (As Amended June 30, 2008). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332 and 1348, and the United States Supreme Court's decision in *Wachovia Bank, NA v. Schmidt*, 546 U.S. 303, 307 (2006) (holding that a national bank is "located," for diversity jurisdiction purposes, in the state designated in its articles of association as the locus of its main office), JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is "located," for diversity purposes, in Columbus, Ohio, making it diverse from Plaintiff.

JPMorgan Chase & Co. is an international holding company incorporated in Delaware with a principal place of business in New York, New York. See Ex. E, Relevant portions of Form 10-K for JPMorgan Chase & Co., for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, available at: http://edgar.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/19617/000095012311019773/y86143e10vk.htm. Thus, it is not a citizen of California and is diverse from Plaintiff.

Defendant Chase Investment Services Corp. is incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in Chicago, Illinois. *See*, *e.g.*, Ex. F, State of Illinois Corporation File Detail Report for Chase Investment Services Corp., available at http://www.ilsos.gov/corporatellc/Corporate LlcController. Thus, it also is not a citizen of California, and is diverse from Plaintiff.

Although Plaintiff has named 10 fictitiously named "Doe" defendants, the citizenship of these "Doe" defendants is disregarded for purposes of removal. 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a); *Newcombe v. Adolf Coors Co.*, 157 F.3d 686, 690-91 (9th Cir. 1998) (for removal purposes, the citizenship of defendants sued under fictitious names shall be disregarded).

Accordingly, none of the defendants is a citizen of California, and complete diversity of citizenship exists.

2. The Amount in Controversy Exceeds \$75,000.

Federal district courts have original jurisdiction of civil actions where the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a). The amount in controversy here far exceeds \$75,000.

Plaintiff has alleged that, "[t]he amount in controversy for Plaintiff, including claims for civil penalties and pro rata share of attorneys' fees, is less than seventy five thousand dollars." Ex. A, Compl. ¶ 2 (emphasis added). But the amount in controversy relative to *Plaintiff* alone is not relevant to determining whether the threshold amount in controversy is met under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) because Plaintiff seeks to recover not only civil penalties under PAGA for himself, but also for a group of nearly 700 other allegedly aggrieved current and former Chase Financial Advisors. Ex. A, Compl. Prayer for Relief ¶¶1-4; Citron Decl. ¶ 3 (attesting that 699 Financial Advisors worked for Chase in the State of California from June 8. 2010 to August 5, 2011). The amount in controversy in Plaintiff's PAGA claim is predicated on the total amount of penalties that can be sought by Plaintiff as the proxy of the LWDA, including his own personal "share" of such penalties and attorneys' fees and the share of each allegedly aggrieved employee. See Thomas v. Aetna Health of California, Inc., No. 1:10-cv-01906-AWI-SKO, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 59377, *58 (E.D. Cal. June 2, 2011) (recommending denial of motion for remand, as the amount in controversy for PAGA claim exceeded \$75,000 based on the penalties and fees for violations alleged as to all aggrieved employees). As a result, under Thomas v. Aetna, a PAGA claim under § 2699 is excepted from the general rule that, for purposes of establishing diversity jurisdiction (absent applicability of CAFA), the claims of multiple plaintiffs are not aggregated to establish the amount in controversy. Id. at 46-58 (likening a PAGA claim to a

shareholder derivative suit where the amount in controversy is predicated on damages sustained by the corporation – as opposed to the named individual shareholder; "the amount at stake in a PAGA claim is predicated on the total amount of the penalties that can be sought by the aggrieved employees as the proxy of the LWDA").

Plaintiff here never identifies the full amount in controversy. The Prayer for Relief provides only that "Plaintiff, and on behalf of all others similarly situated. prays for relief and judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally . . . [flor penalties and attorneys' fees in excess of twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000)." Ex. A, Compl. Prayer for Relief ¶ 1. Where, as here, "it is unclear or ambiguous from the face of a state-court complaint whether the requisite amount in controversy is pled . . . we apply a preponderance of the evidence standard." Guglielmino v. McKee Foods Corp., 506 F.3d 696, 699-700 (9th Cir. 2007). To meet this standard, Chase need only show that it is more likely than not that the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000. Singer v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 116 F.3d 373, 376 (9th Cir. 1997). "Said burden is not 'daunting,' as courts recognize that under this standard, a removing defendant is not obligated to 'research, state, and prove the plaintiff's claims for damages." Korn v. Polo Ralph Lauren Corp., 536 F. Supp. 2d 1199, 1204-05 (E.D. Cal. 2008). The ultimate inquiry is what amount is put "in controversy" by the plaintiff's complaint, not what a defendant will actually owe. Rippee v. Boston Market Corp., 408 F. Supp. 2d 982, 986 (S.D. Cal. 2005); Scherer v. Equitable Life Assurance Society of the United States, 347 F.3d 394, 397-99 (2d Cir. 2003) (recognizing that the ultimate or provable amount of damages is not what is considered when determining the amount in controversy; rather, it is the amount put in controversy by the plaintiff's complaint).

27

Although Chase denies Plaintiff's factual allegations and denies that Plaintiff or the allegedly aggrieved employees on whose behalf he purports to seek penalties are entitled to the relief for which Plaintiff has prayed, Plaintiff's allegations and prayer for relief have put into controversy an amount that exceeds the \$75,000 threshold when aggregating the claims of the aggrieved employees.

a. PAGA Penalties

The penalties available under PAGA are those provided by the underlying Labor Code provision that is violated or, if no penalty is listed, those provided by PAGA itself. Labor Code § 2699(f)(2). Where the Labor Code does not provide for a penalty, PAGA provides a civil penalty of \$100 for each aggrieved employee per pay period for the initial violation, and \$200 for each aggrieved employee per pay period for each subsequent violation. *Id.* There is a one-year statute of limitations for PAGA claims. Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 340.

Here, Plaintiff prays for relief consisting of, "civil penalties pursuant to California Labor Code sections 2699(a) and/or 2699(f) and (g) [PAGA], plus costs and attorneys' fees, for violations of California Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 226(a), 226.7, 510, 512(a), 1198, 2800, and 2802; and [f]or such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate." Thus, Plaintiff seeks PAGA civil penalties for at least seven separate Labor Code violations: (1) failure to provide complete and accurate wage statements, (2) waiting time penalties for failure to timely pay all wages upon discharge, (3) failure to provide meal periods, (4) failure to provide rest periods, (5) failure to pay overtime wages, (6) failure to timely pay all wages during employment, and (7) failure to reimburse business-related expenses. As set forth below, the amount in controversy for even a single of these alleged violations well exceeds \$75,000.

<u>PAGA Penalties For Deficient Wage Statements</u>. Plaintiff alleges he and a group of nearly 700 aggrieved employee Financial Advisors were misclassified as

exempt. Ex. A, Compl. ¶ 21; Ex. B, Citron Decl. ¶ 3. He further alleges that Cal. Lab. Code § 226 required that Chase provide Financial Advisors wage statements showing the number of hours that they worked and that Chase did not do so. Ex. A, Compl. ¶¶ 45-46. Thus, Plaintiff's misclassification allegation places in controversy PAGA penalties for every pay statement issued to a Financial Advisor since July 8, 2010.

During the relevant period, Chase pays California Financial Advisors on a twice-monthly basis, for a total of 24 pay periods per year. Ex. B, Cintron Decl. ¶ 4. Since Plaintiff filed his Complaint on July 8, 2011, there have been an additional 2 pay periods, for a total of 26 pay periods during the limitations period. *Id.* As demonstrated by Chase's official records, the actual number of pay periods worked by Plaintiff and allegedly aggrieved California Financial Advisors during the relevant time period is 14,527 pay periods. Ex. C, Gonell Decl. ¶ 2.

The penalty at issue for each employee asserting violations of Labor Code \S 226 would be \$50 for the initial pay period in which a violation occurs, and \$100 for each violation in a remaining pay period, not exceeding an aggregate penalty under \S 226 of \$4,000 per employee. Lab. Code \S 226(e). Multiplying the \$50 penalty for initial violations by the 699 Financial Advisors that Chase employed in California from July 8, 2010 to the present yields penalties of \S 34,950. Applying the \$100 Lab. Code \S 226(e) penalty amount to the remaining 13,828 pay periods (14,527 – 699 = 13,828), the additional PAGA penalty amount in controversy for the remaining pay periods of deficient pay statements is \S 1,382,800. Adding these amounts together shows that \S 1,417,750 in PAGA penalties is in controversy as to the alleged Labor Code \S 226 violations alone.

Waiting Time Penalties. Labor Code Section 203 provides that if an employer willfully fails to pay wages to a terminated employee, "the wages of the employee shall continue as a penalty from the due date thereof at the same rate until

DB2/22608986.2

paid or until an action therefor is commenced; but the wages shall not continue for more than 30 days." Cal. Lab. Code § 203(a).

Since July 8, 2010, Chase has employed 129 California Financial Advisors who have ended their employment with Chase. Ex. B, Cintron Decl. ¶ 3. Persons employed in these positions in California earn at least \$33,600 per year in base salary, which is equivalent to \$2,800 per month. Ex. B, Cintron Decl. ¶ 5.

Assuming the lowest applicable base salary and further assuming Plaintiff's allegations to be true – that each of these 129 former Financial Advisors is entitled to 30 days of wages as waiting time penalties – then the amount in controversy on this claim is at least \$361,200.

PAGA Penalties for Meal and Rest Breaks. Plaintiff alleges that the very nature of Chase's business prevented Financial Advisors from taking breaks and lunch periods. Ex. A, Compl. ¶¶ 42-43 (alleging that Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees did not receive the requisite meal or rest periods "due to the flow of customers and high volume of work they had to complete at Defendants' banks."). Therefore, it is appropriate to calculate the penalties at issue based on Plaintiff's own allegation that each FA was unlawfully deprived of meal and rest breaks throughout the statute of limitations period. See Coleman v. Estes Express Lines, Inc., 730 F. Supp. 2d 1141, 1149-50 (C.D. Cal. 2010) (plaintiff included no limitation on the number of violations, and, taking his complaint as true, defendants could properly calculate the amount in controversy based upon a 100% violation rate).

Applying a \$100 PAGA penalty to each of the first 699 pay periods in which violations allegedly occurred (\$69,900) and a \$200 PAGA penalty to each of the 13,828 remaining pay periods (\$2,765,600) yields a total amount in controversy on Plaintiff's meal period claims of \$2,835,500 (\$69,900 + \$2,765,600) and the same amount (\$2,835,500) on Plaintiff's rest period claims.

11

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

Overtime Wages.

Labor Code §§ 510 and 1198 do not specify penalties for failure to pay overtime pay. Therefore, PAGA default penalties apply to these claims. Plaintiff alleges that "he and other aggrieved employees were entitled to receive overtime wage[s]" for these hours. Ex. A, Compl. ¶ 21. Indeed, Plaintiff alleges that "[d]uring the relevant time period, [he] and other aggrieved employees worked in excess of eight (8) hours in a day, in excess of twelve (12) hours in a day, and/or in excess of forty (40) hours in a week. For example, Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees worked over ten (10) hours per day and approximately fifty-two (52) to fifty-eight (58) hours a week, without receiving overtime wages for hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours in a day or forty (40) hours in a week." Ex. A, Compl. ¶ 41.

Accordingly, applying a \$100 PAGA penalty to each of the first 699 pay periods in which violations occurred (\$69,900) and a \$200 PAGA penalty to each of the subsequent 13,828 pay periods (\$2,765,600) yields a total amount in controversy on Plaintiff's overtime claims of \$2,835,500.

Late Wage Payment During Employment. Labor Code § 210 assesses a \$100 penalty for each failure to pay each employee for the first violation, and a \$200 penalty for each failure to pay each employee for a subsequent violation, plus 25% of the amount unlawfully withheld.

As set forth above, in light of Plaintiff's allegations regarding unpaid overtime and meal and rest break premiums, Plaintiff's complaint also states claims that place in controversy late payment penalties for every Financial Advisor in every pay period worked.

Applying a \$100 Labor Code § 210 penalty to each of the first 699 pay periods in which violations occurred (\$69,900) and a \$200 Labor Code § 210 penalty to each of the 13,828 remaining pay periods (\$2,765,600) yields a total

amount in controversy on Plaintiff's late wage payment claims of \$2,835,500 (\$69,900 + \$2,765,600) (before even adding the 25% of the amount unlawfully withheld).

Total Amount Of PAGA Penalties In Controversy:

In sum, the allegations of Plaintiff's Complaint place in controversy at least the following amounts:

| Alleged Labor Code Violation | Penalties In Controversy |
|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Penalties for deficient wage statements | \$1,417,750 |
| Waiting time penalties | \$361,200 |
| Penalties for failure to provide meal breaks | \$2,835,500 |
| Penalties for failure to provide rest breaks | \$2,835,500 |
| Penalties for failure to pay overtime | \$2,835,500 |
| Late wage payment penalties | \$2,835,500 |
| | |

Total PAGA penalties in controversy:

\$13,120,950

b. Attorneys' Fees

Plaintiff also seeks recovery of attorneys' fees. Ex. A, Compl. Prayer for Relief ¶ 1. Attorneys' fees are properly included in determining the amount in controversy. *Guglielmino v. McKee Foods Corp.*, 506 F.3d 696, 698 (9th Cir. 2007); *Sanchez v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*, No. Civ. S-06-cv-2573 DFL KJM, 2007 WL 1345706, *2 (E.D. Cal. May 8, 2007) ("Attorney's fees, if authorized by statute or contract, are also part of the calculation.").

Although Chase denies Plaintiffs' claim for attorneys' fees, for purposes of removal, the Ninth Circuit uses a benchmark rate of 25% of the potential damages as the amount of attorneys' fees. *See Molnar v. 1-800-Flowers.com, Inc.*, No. 08-CV-0542-CAS-JCx, 2009 WL 481618, *5 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 23, 2009) ("fair estimate of attorneys' fees in this action is 25% of compensatory damages"); *Tompkins v.*

4 5

7 8

6

10

class actions).

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

DB2/22608986.2

Basic Research LL, No. CIV. S08244-LKK-DAD, 2008 WL 1808316, *4 (E.D. Cal. Apr. 22, 2008) (when calculating attorneys' fees for remand purposes, a reasonable estimate is 25% of the common fund). Indeed, Plaintiff's counsel Initiative Law Group has, in other litigation, sought court approval of a fee award comprising one-third of a total settlement amount. See Franco v. Ross Stores, Inc., Case No. BC 298950 - Los Angeles County, Notice of Motion and Motion in Support of Preliminary Approval of a Class Action Settlement (Cal. Super. Ct., L.A. County. Oct. 11, 2006) (seeking preliminary approval of \$1 million payment of attorneys' fees and costs where defendant agreed to pay \$3 million to resolve the

Here, 25% of the total PAGA penalties placed in controversy is \$3,280,237.50

Thus, including attorneys' fees, the total amount placed in controversy by Plaintiff's Complaint is at least \$16,401,187.50.

In The Alternative, This Court Has Original Subject Matter B. Jurisdiction Under CAFA.

CAFA expressly provides that actions where a plaintiff is proceeding as a "representative" of other individuals, as here, are covered by its provisions. Moreover, diversity of citizenship exists between Plaintiff and one or more defendants, the number of proposed class members is 100 or greater, and the amount placed in controversy by Plaintiff's Complaint exceeds, in the aggregate, \$5 million, exclusive of interest and costs. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d)(2), 1453. Removal under CAFA diversity jurisdiction is therefore proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441, 1446 and 1453.

Plaintiff's PAGA Suit Is A Class Action Under CAFA. 1.

CAFA provides for removal of class actions involving parties with minimal diversity. 28 U.S.C. §1332(d)(2). CAFA defines a "class action" as, "[a]ny civil action filed under rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or similar State

statute or rule of judicial procedure authorizing an action to be brought by 1 or more representative persons as a class action." 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(1)(B).

"In passing CAFA, Congress emphasized that the term 'class action' should be defined broadly to prevent 'judicial gamesmanship:' . . . Its application should not be confined solely to lawsuits that are labeled 'class actions' by the named plaintiff or the state rulemaking authority. Generally speaking, lawsuits that resemble a purported class action should be considered class action for the purpose of applying these provisions." Caldwell v. Allstate Insurance Co., 536 F.3d 418, 423-24 (5th Cir. 2008) (affirming order denying remand, finding case was properly removed under CAFA) (citing S. Rep. No. 109-14, at 35 (2005) (emphasis added)).

A representative PAGA action not only "resembles" a class action, but federal courts have held that actions for relief under PAGA are class actions subject to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23. *See Thompson v. APM Pacific Terminals, Ltd.*, No. 3:10-cv-00677, 2010 WL 6309364, *2 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 26, 2010) (holding that a plaintiff who seeks to bring a representative PAGA action on behalf of non-party, unnamed, aggrieved employees in federal court must meet federal procedural requirements under Rule 23); *Adams v. Luxottica*, No. 8:07-cv-01465, 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 130660, *6-9 (C.D. Cal. July 24, 2009) (plaintiff lacked standing to bring a non-class representative PAGA claim on behalf of other aggrieved employees because the action was not brought as a class action in compliance with Federal Rule 23).

2. <u>Minimum Diversity Exists.</u>

In order to satisfy CAFA's diversity requirement, a party seeking removal need only show that minimal diversity exists; that is, that one putative class member is a citizen of a different state from that of one defendant. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2); *United Steel, Paper & Forestry, Rubber, Mfg., Energy, Allied Indus.* & Serv. Workers Int'l Union, AFL-CIO, CLC v. Shell Oil Co., 602 F.3d 1087, 1090-

91 (9th Cir. 2010) (finding that to achieve its purposes, CAFA provides expanded original diversity jurisdiction for class actions meeting the minimal diversity requirement set forth in 28 U.S.C. §1332(d)(2)). Here, as set forth in Section II.A.1. above, complete diversity of citizenship exists because Plaintiff is a citizen of California, while none of the defendants is a citizen of California.

3. The Amount In Controversy Exceeds \$5 Million.

Pursuant to CAFA, the amount in controversy component of diversity jurisdiction is satisfied when the aggregated claims of the individual members in a class action exceed the sum or value of \$5 million. See 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(6). Furthermore, Congress intended for federal jurisdiction to be appropriate under CAFA "if the value of the matter in litigation exceeds \$5,000,000 either from the viewpoint of the plaintiff or the viewpoint of the defendant, and regardless of the type of relief sought (e.g., damages, injunctive relief, or declaratory relief.)." Senate Judiciary Report, S. REP. 109-14, at 42.

As set forth above, because Plaintiff does not expressly plead a specific amount of damages, Chase need only show that it is more likely than not that the amount in controversy exceeds \$5 million. *Singer*, 116 F.3d at 376.

Here, Plaintiff expressly prays "for civil penalties pursuant to California Labor Code sections 2699(a) and/or 2699(f) and (g), plus costs and attorneys' fees, for violations of California Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 226(a), 226.7, 510, 512(a), 1198, 2800, and 2802." Ex. A, Compl., Prayer for Relief ¶ 3. Thus, Plaintiff's Complaint expressly seeks to recover PAGA penalties for each violation. See Schiller v. David's Bridal, Inc., No. 1:10-cv-00616 AWI SKO, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 81128, **15-18 (E.D. Cal. Jul. 14, 2010).

⁴ Chase disputes that PAGA penalties may be "stacked" – in other words, assessing a penalty for each Labor Code violation separately alleged by Plaintiff – but because Plaintiff's complaint seeks "stacked" PAGA penalties, they must be counted as such to determine the amount in controversy. "The ultimate inquiry is what amount is put 'in controversy' by the plaintiff's complaint, not what a defendant will actually owe." *Korn*, 536 F. Supp. 2d at 1205 (citing *Rippee v*.

As set forth above in Section I.A.2., although Chase denies Plaintiff's factual allegations and denies that Plaintiff or the allegedly aggrieved employees that he seeks to represent are entitled to the relief for which Plaintiff has prayed, his allegations and prayer for relief have put into controversy an amount that well exceeds the \$5 million threshold when aggregating the claims of the aggrieved employees, as set forth in 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(6). Indeed, Plaintiff's Complaint places at least \$16,401,187.50 in controversy.

IV. VENUE

This action was originally filed in the Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles and is therefore removable to this district. 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a).

V. NOTICE

Chase will promptly serve this Notice of Removal on all parties and will promptly file a copy of this Notice of Removal with the clerk of the state court in which the action is pending, as required under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).

A true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

A true and correct copy of the Declaration of Trese C. Cintron in support of removal is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

A true and correct copy of the Declaration of Carrie A. Gonell in support of removal is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

A true and correct copy of JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s Articles of Association, As Amended June 30, 2008, is attached hereto as Exhibit D.

A true and correct copy of relevant portions of the Form 10-K for JPMorgan Chase & Co., for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, is attached hereto as Exhibit E.

Boston Market Corp., 408 F. Supp. 2d at 986).

A true and correct copy of the State of Illinois Corporation File Detail Report for Chase Investment Services Corp., is attached hereto as Exhibit F.

A true and correct copy of Chase's Answer, filed in the Superior Court, is attached hereto as Exhibit G.

VI. **CONCLUSION**

Based on the foregoing, Chase respectfully requests that this action be removed to this Court. If any question arises as to the propriety of the removal of this action, Chase requests the opportunity to present a brief and oral argument in support of its position that this case is removable.

Dated: August 12, 2011

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

By

Carkie A. Gonell

Attorneys for Defendants

CHASE INVESTMENT SERVICES

'ORP., JPMORGAN CHASE

BANK, N.A., and JPMORGAN

CHASÉ & CÓ.

27

EXHIBIT "A"

Miriam Schimmel (SBN 185089)
MSchimmel@InitiativeLegal.com
Andrew Sokolowski (SBN 226685)
ASokolowski@InitiativeLegal.com
Joshua Carlon (SBN 263838)
JCarlon@InitiativeLegal.com
Initiative Legal Group APC
1800 Century Park East, 2nd Floor
Los Angeles, California 90067
Telephone: (310) 556-5637
Facsimile: (310) 861-9051

Attorneys for Plaintiff Joseph Baumann

Mosy

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

JUL 8" 2011

John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk
BY May Flores
Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

JOSEPH BAUMANN, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CHASE INVESTMENT SERVICES CORP., a Delaware corporation; JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, a New York corporation; JPMORGAN CHASE & CO., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.:

BC 46 49 7 9

COMPLAINT FOR ENFORCEMENT OF THE PRIVATE ATTORNEYS GENERAL ACT, CALIFORNIA LABOR CODE §§ 2698 ET SEQ.

(1) Violation of Labor Code §§ 2698, et seq. ("PAGA").

Jury Trial Demanded

717/CASE: 30464979 LEA/DEF#:
RECEIPT #: CCH478057038
WATE PAID: 07/08/11 02:00:37 PM
WAYMENT: \$395.00 0310
ECEIVED:
CCHECK: 395.00
CASH:
CHANGE:
CARD:

COMPLAINT

- 25

Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all other aggrieved employees alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to the California
 Constitution, Article VI, section 10. The statutes under which this action is brought do not specify any other basis for jurisdiction.
- 2. The amount in controversy for Plaintiff, including claims for civil penalties and pro rata share of attorneys' fees, is less than seventy five thousand dollars (\$75,000).
- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over all Defendants because, upon information and belief, Defendants are either citizens of California, have sufficient minimum contacts in California, or otherwise intentionally avail itself of the California market so as to render the exercise of jurisdiction over them by the California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 4. Venue is proper in this Court because, upon information and belief, Defendants reside, transact business, or have offices in this county and the acts and omissions alleged herein took place in this county.
- 5. California Labor Code sections 2699 et seq., the "Labor Code Private Attorneys Generals Act" ("PAGA"), authorizes aggrieved employees to sue directly for various civil penalties under the California Labor Code.
- 6. Plaintiff timely provided notice on July 6, 2011 to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") and to Defendants, pursuant to California Labor Code section 2699.3(a).

THE PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff JOSEPH BAUMANN is a resident of Riverside County, California.
- 8. Defendant CHASE INVESTMENT SERVICES CORP. was and is, upon information and belief, a Delaware corporation, doing business in California, and at all times hereinafter mentioned, an employer whose employees are engaged throughout this county, the State of California, or the various states of the United States of America.

Page I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 9. Defendant JPMORGAN CHASE BANK was and is, upon information and belief, a New York corporation, doing business in California, and at all times hereinafter mentioned, an employer whose employees are engaged throughout this county, the State of California, or the various states of the United States of America.
- 10. Defendant JPMORGAN CHASE & CO. was and is, upon information and belief, a Delaware corporation, doing business in California, and at all times hereinafter mentioned, an employer whose employees are engaged throughout this county, the State of California, or the various states of the United States of America.
- 11. Plaintiff is unaware of the true names or capacities of the Defendants sued herein under the fictitious names DOES 1 through 10, but will seek leave of this Court to amend the complaint and serve such fictitiously named Defendants once their names and capacities become known.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that DOES 1 through 10 12. are the partners, agents, owners, shareholders, managers or employees of CHASE INVESTMENT SERVICES CORP., JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, and/or JPMORGAN CHASE & CO. at all relevant times.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each and all of the 13. acts and omissions alleged herein was performed by, or is attributable to, CHASE INVESTMENT SERVICES CORP., JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, JPMORGAN CHASE & CO., and/or DOES 1 through 10 (collectively "Defendants"), each acting as the agent for the other, with legal authority to act on the other's behalf. The acts of any and all Defendants were in accordance with, and represent, the official policy of Defendants.
- At all relevant times, Defendants, and each of them, ratified each and every act 14. or omission complained of herein. At all relevant times, Defendants, and each of them, aided and abetted the acts and omissions of each and all the other Defendants in proximately causing the damages herein alleged.
- 15. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of said Defendants is in some manner intentionally, negligently, or otherwise responsible for the acts,

۱.

omissions, occurrences, and transactions alleged herein.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 16. At all relevant times set forth, Defendants employed Plaintiff and other persons as exempt or salaried employees.
- 17. Defendants employed Plaintiff from June 2010 to January 2011. Defendants classified Plaintiff as an exempt, salaried "Financial Advisor Associate" at Defendants' Los Angeles, California business location. Plaintiff typically worked fifty-two (52) to fifty-eight (58) hours per week.
- trying to sell Defendants' standardized investment products to customers. Specifically, Defendants created and implemented a highly detailed script for Plaintiff and other Financial Advisors to follow when attempting to sell Defendants' investment products to customers. Defendants scripted every part of Financial Advisors' job duties, including what they were to say to customers and which investment products they were to recommend to customers. As such, Plaintiff and other Financial Advisors had little to no discretion in the performance of their job duties. In fact, Defendants reprimanded Plaintiff and other Financial Advisors when they did not follow Defendants' script. Defendants also required Plaintiff and other Financial Advisors to make cold calls to existing bank customers and make appointments for the customers to come into Defendants' banks. Moreover, Defendants regularly required Plaintiff and other Financial Advisors to attend meetings to review their scripted sales pitches and discuss their sales targets. Plaintiff did not have authority to hire or fire Defendants' employees.
- 19. Defendants continue to employ exempt or salaried Financial Advisors within California.
- 20. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times herein mentioned, Defendants were advised by skilled lawyers and other professionals, employees and advisors knowledgeable about California labor and wage law, employment and personnel practices, and about the requirements of California law.

- 22. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants knew or should have known that Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees were entitled to receive certain wages for overtime compensation and that they were not receiving certain wages for overtime compensation.
- 23. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants knew or should have known that Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees were entitled to receive all meal periods or payment of one (1) additional hour of pay at Plaintiff's and other aggrieved employees' regular rate of pay each day they did not receive a timely uninterrupted meal period, and that they did not receive all meal periods or payment of one (1) additional hour of pay at Plaintiff's and other aggrieved employees' regular rate of pay each day they did not receive a timely uninterrupted meal period.
- 24. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants knew or should have known that Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees were entitled to receive all rest periods or payment of one (1) additional hour of pay at Plaintiff's and other aggrieved employees' regular rate of pay each day a rest period was missed, and that they did not receive all rest periods or payment of one (1) additional hour of pay at Plaintiff's and other aggrieved

2

3

4

5

6.

7

8

9

10

11

12

.13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

employees' regular rate of pay each day a rest period was missed.

- 25. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants knew or should have known that Plaintiff and other aggreeved employees were entitled to timely payment of wages during their employment. In violation of the California Labor Code, Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees did not receive payment of all wages, including, but not limited to, overtime wages and missed meal and rest period premiums, within permissible time periods.
- 26. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants knew or should have known that Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees were entitled to timely payment of all wages upon termination. In violation of the California Labor Code, Plaintiff and other aggreeved employees did not receive payment of all wages, including, but not limited to, overtime wages and missed meal and rest period premiums, within permissible time periods.
- 27. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants knew or should have known that Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees were entitled to receive complete and accurate wage statements in accordance with California law. In violation of the California Labor Code, Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees were not provided complete and accurate wage statements.
- 28. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants knew or should have known that Plaintiff and other aggreeved employees were entitled to receive full reimbursement for all business-related expenses and costs they incurred during the course and scope of their employment, and that they did not receive full reimbursement of applicable business-related expenses and costs they incurred.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times herein mentioned, Defendants knew or should have known that they had a duty to compensate Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees, and that Defendants had the financial ability to pay such compensation, but willfully, knowingly and intentionally failed to do so, and falsely represented to Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees that they were properly denied wages,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

TT7887718

all in order to increase Defendants' profits.

- At all times herein set forth, PAGA was applicable to Plaintiff's employment by Defendants.
- At all times herein set forth, PAGA provides that any civil penalty which may 31. be assessed and collected by the LWDA for violations of the California Labor Code may, as an alternative, be recovered through a civil action brought by an aggrieved employee on behalf of himself and other current or former employees pursuant to procedures outlined in California Labor Code section 2699.3.
- 32. Pursuant to PAGA, a civil action under PAGA may be brought by an "aggrieved employee," who is any person that was employed by the alleged violator and against whom one or more of the alleged violations was committed.
- 33. Plaintiff was employed by Defendants and the alleged violations were committed against him during his time of employment and he is, therefore, an aggrieved employee. Plaintiff and other employees are "aggrieved employees" as defined by California Labor Code section 2699(c) in that they are all current or former employees of Defendants, and one or more of the alleged violations were committed against them.
- 34. Pursuant to California Labor Code sections 2699.3 and 2699.5, an aggrieved employee, including Plaintiff, may pursue a civil action arising under PAGA after the following requirements have been met:
 - The aggrieved employee shall give written notice by certified mail (hereinafter "Employee's Notice") to the LWDA and the employer of the specific provisions of the California Labor Code alleged to have been violated, including the facts and theories to support the alleged violations.
 - The LWDA shall provide notice (hereinafter "LWDA Notice") to the employer and the aggrieved employee by certified mail that it does not intend to investigate the alleged violation within thirty (30) calendar days of the postmark date of the Employee's Notice. Upon receipt of

three (33) calendar days of the postmark date of the Employee's Notice, the aggrieved employee may commence a civil action pursuant to California Labor Code section 2699 to recover civil penalties in addition to any other penalties to which the employee may be entitled.

- 35. On July 6, 2011, Plaintiff provided written notice by certified mail to the LWDA and to Defendants of the specific provisions of the California Labor Code alleged to have been violated, including the facts and theories to support the alleged violations, pursuant to California Labor Code section 2699.3.
- 36. Therefore, as of July 6, 2011, the administrative prerequisites under California Labor Code section 2699.3(a) are satisfied and Plaintiff has authorization to recover civil penalties against Defendants, in addition to other remedies, for violations of California Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 226(a), 226.7, 510, 512(a), 1198, 2800, and 2802, unless the LWDA provides timely notice of its intent to investigate Plaintiff's Labor Code claims.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of California Labor Code §§ 2698, et seq.

(Against All Defendants)

- 37. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein the material allegations set out in paragraphs 1 through 36.
- 38. California Labor Code sections 2698, et seq. ("PAGA") permits Plaintiff to recover civil penalties for the violation(s) of the Labor Code sections enumerated in Labor Code section 2699.5.
- 39. PAGA provides as follows, "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of law, a plaintiff may as a matter of right amend an existing complaint to add a cause of action arising under this part at any time within 60 days of the time periods specified in this part."
- 40. Defendants' conduct, as alleged herein, violates numerous sections of the California Labor Code, including, but not limited to, the following:

- (a) Violation of Labor Code sections 510 and 1198 for Defendants' failure to compensate Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees with all required overtime pay;
- (b) 'Violation of Labor Code sections 226.7 and 512 for failure to provide all meal and rest breaks to Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees;
- (c) Violation of Labor Code sections 2800 and 2802 for failure to reimburse Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees for necessary business-related expenses incurred;
- (d) Violation of Labor Code section 226 for failure to provide accurate wage statements;
- (e) Violation of Labor Code sections 201 and 202 for failure to timely pay all earned wages to Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees upon discharge; and
- (f) Violation of Labor Code section 204 for failure to timely pay all earned wages to Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees during employment;
- 41. California Labor Code section 510 codifies the right to overtime compensation at one-and-one-half times the regular hourly rate for hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours in a day or forty (40) hours in a week or for the first eight (8) hours worked on the seventh day of work, and to overtime compensation at twice the regular hourly rate for hours worked in excess of twelve (12) hours in a day or in excess of eight (8) hours in a day on the seventh day of work. Specifically, California Labor Code section 1198 and the applicable IWC Wage Order provide that Defendants are and were required to pay Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees working more than eight (8) hours in a day or more than forty (40) hours in a workweek at the rate of time-and-one-half for all hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours in a day or more than forty (40) hours in a workweek; Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees working more than twelve (12) hours in a day are and were entitled to be paid at a rate of two times their regular rate of pay. During the relevant time period, Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees worked in excess of eight (8) hours in a day, in excess of twelve (12) hours in a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

| day, and/or in excess of forty (40) hours in a week. For example, Plaintiff and other aggrieved |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| employees worked over ten (10) hours per day and approximately fifty-two (52) to fifty-eight |
| (58) hours a week, without receiving overtime wages for hours worked in excess of eight (8) |
| hours in a day or forty (40) hours in a week. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and other |
| aggrieved employees the unpaid balance of overtime compensation, as required by California |
| law, violates the provisions of California Labor Code sections 510 and 1198, and is therefore |
| uniawful |

- California Labor Code section 226.7 provides that no employer shall require an 42. employee to work during any meal period mandated by an applicable order of the California IWC. The applicable IWC Wage Order and California Labor Code section 512(a) provide that an employer may not require, cause or permit an employee to work for a period of more than five (5) hours per day without providing the employee with an uninterrupted meal period of not less than thirty (30) minutes, except that if the total work period per day of the employee is not more than six (6) hours, the meal period may be waived by mutual consent of both the employer and the employee. California Labor Code section 512(a) also provides that an employer may not employ an employee for a work period of more than ten (10) hours per day without providing the employee with a second meal period of not less than thirty (30) minutes, except that if the total hours worked is no more than twelve (12) hours, the second meal period may be waived by mutual consent of the employer and the employee only if the first meal period was not waived. During the relevant time period, Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees did not receive timely, uninterrupted meal periods of at least thirty (30) minutes. For example, Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees could not take meal periods due to the flow of customers and high volume of work they had to complete at Defendants' banks. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees the full meal period premium due pursuant to California Labor Code section 226.7.
- 43. California Labor Code section 226.7 also provides that no employer shall require an employee to work during any rest period mandated by an applicable order of the California IWC. The applicable IWC Wage Order provides that "[e]very employer shall

I

- authorize and permit all employees to take rest periods, which insofar as practicable shall be in the middle of each work period" and that the "rest period time shall be based on the total hours worked daily at the rate of ten (10) minutes net rest time per four (4) hours or major fraction thereof" unless the total daily work time is less than three and one-half (3½) hours. During the relevant time period, Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees did not receive a ten (10) minute rest period for every four (4) hours worked due to the flow of customers and high volume of work they had to complete at Defendants' banks. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees the full rest period premium due pursuant to California Labor Code section 226.7.
- 44. California Labor Code sections 2800 and 2802 provide that an employer must reimburse employees for all necessary expenditures. During the relevant time period, Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees incurred necessary business-related expenses and costs that were not fully reimbursed by Defendants. Defendants had, and continue to have, a policy of not reimbursing employees, including Plaintiff, for mileage expenses necessarily incurred during the performance of their job duties. For example, Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees had to drive from his bank branch to attend mandatory meetings at other locations, but was not reimbursed for his mileage expenses.
- 45. California Labor Code section 226(a) provides that every employer shall furnish each of his or her employees an accurate itemized wage statement in writing, including the name and address of the legal entity that is the employer, total hours worked and all applicable hourly rates, among other things. Defendants have intentionally and willfully failed to provide employees with complete and accurate wage statements. The deficiencies include, among other things, the failure to accurately list the total hours worked by Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees. As a result of Defendants' violation of California Labor Code section 226(a), Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees have suffered injury and damage to their statutorily protected rights. Specifically, Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees have been injured by Defendants' intentional violation of California Labor Code section 226(a) because they were denied both their legal right to receive, and their protected interest in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

receiving, accurate, itemized wage statements under California Labor Code section 226(a). In addition, because Defendants failed to provide the accurate number of total hours worked on wage statements, Plaintiff has been prevented by Defendants from determining if all hours worked were paid and the extent of the underpayment. Plaintiff has had to file this lawsuit, conduct discovery, reconstruct time records, and perform computations in order to analyze whether in fact Plaintiff was paid correctly and the extent of the underpayment, thereby causing Plaintiff to incur expenses and lost time. Plaintiff would not have had to engage in these efforts and incur these costs had Defendants provided the accurate number of total hours worked. This has also delayed Plaintiff's ability to demand and recover the underpayment of wages from Defendants.

- California Labor Code sections 201 and 202 provide that if an employer 46. discharges an employee, the wages earned and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately, and that if an employee voluntarily leaves his or her employment, his or her wages shall become due and payable not later than seventy-two (72) hours thereafter, unless the employee has given seventy-two (72) hours previous notice of his or her intention to quit, in which case the employee is entitled to his or her wages at the time of quitting. During the relevant time period, Defendants wilfully failed to pay Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees who are no longer employed by Defendants all their earned wages, including overtime and missed meal and rest period premiums, either at the time of discharge, or within seventy-two (72) hours of their leaving Defendants' employ. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees who are no longer employed by Defendants all their earned wages, including, but not limited to, overtime and missed meal and rest period premiums, at the time of discharge, or within seventy-two (72) hours of their leaving Defendants' employ, is in violation of California Labor Code sections 201 and 202.
- 47: California Labor Code section 204 requires that all wages earned by any person in any employment between the 1st and the 15th days, inclusive, of any calendar month, other. than those wages due upon termination of an employee, are due and payable between the 16th and the 26th day of the month during which the labor was performed, and that all wages

earned by any person in any employment between the 16th and the last day, inclusive, of any calendar month, other than those wages due upon termination of an employee, are due and payable between the 1st and the 10th day of the following month. California Labor Code section 204 also requires that all wages earned for labor in excess of the normal work period shall be paid no later than the payday for the next regular payroll period. During the relevant time period, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees all wages due to them within any time period specified by California Labor Code section 204 including, but not limited to, overtime and premium wages for meal and rest breaks that were not provided.

48. Pursuant to California Labor Code sections 2699(a), 2699.3, and 2699.5, Plaintiff and all other aggrieved employees are entitled to recover civil penalties against Defendants, in addition to other remedies, for violations of California Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 226(a), 226.7, 510, 512(a), 1198, 2800, and 2802.

REQUEST FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff requests a trial by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff, and on behalf of all others similarly situated, prays for relief and judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, as follows:

I. For penalties and attorneys' fees in excess of twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000).

As to the First Cause of Action

- 2. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that Defendants violated the following California Labor Code sections: 510 and 1198 (by failing to provide Plaintiff and other aggrieved employees all overtime compensation), 226.7 and 512 (by failing to provide all meal and rest periods and failing to pay for all missed meal and rest periods), 226(a) (by failing to provide accurate wage statements), 201, 202, 203, 204 (by failing to pay all earned wages during employment and upon termination), and 2800 and 2802 (by failing to reimburse for all business expenses necessarily incurred).
 - For civil penalties pursuant to California Labor Code sections 2699(a) and/or

Page 12

| 1 | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| | 1 | 2699(f) and (g), plus costs and attorneys' fees, for violations of California Labor Code | • |
| ! : | 2 | sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 226(a), 226.7, 510, 512(a), 1198, 2800, and 2802; and | |
| 1 | 3 | 4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and | |
| | 4 | appropriate. | |
| [· | 5 | | |
| | 6 | Dated: July 7, 2011 Respectfully submitted, | |
| Ì | 7 | Initiative Legal Group APC | |
| | 8 | | |
| • | 10 | By: 101/2 | _ |
| | 11 | Mirjam Schimmel Andrew Sokolowski | |
| Century park east; escond floor; los angres; caufornia 3006 | 12 | Joshua Carlon Attorneys for Plaintiff Joseph Baumann | |
| GERES; C | 13 | Attorneys for Flamini Joseph Dadmann | |
| OR:105 A | 14 | | |
| CONO FLO | 15 | | |
| K EAST, SE | 16 | | |
| TURYPAR | 17 | | |
| JEGO CEN | . 18 | | |
| | 19 | | |
| : | 20 | | |
| | 21 | | |
| į | . 22 | | |
| 1 | 23 | | |
| | 24 | | |
| 728 | 25 | | |
| 51/88/18 | 26 27 | | |
| 1 | 27 | | |
| 1 | 40 | Page 13 | |

| OR. | IG | N | A | |
|-----|----|---|---|--|
|-----|----|---|---|--|

| 1 | | CM-010 |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, Shife Bar number, and address): Miriam Schimmel (185089), Andrew Sokolowski (226685), Joshua Carlon (263838) | FOR COURT USE ONLY |
| • | Initiative Legal Group APC | |
| | 1800 Century Park East, 2nd Floor Los Angeles, California 90067 | SUPERIOR CALLED |
| ļ <u>.</u> - | TELEPHONE NO.: (310) 556-5637 FAX NO.: (310) 861-9051 | SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES |
| į | ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff Joseph Baumann | - SANGECES |
| | SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES | JUL 8" 2011 |
| | STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street NAUM ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street | John A. Clarke E. |
| ; | CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, California 90012 | John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk |
| : | BRANCH NAME: Stanley Mosk | Mary Florer Deputy |
| | CASE NAME: | |
| } | Baumann v. Chase Inv. Serv. Corp., et al. | CASE NUMBER C 4 6 4 9 7 9 |
| | CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Complex Case Designation | BC #0 #01 |
| ١. | (Amount (Amount) Joinder | NDG5 |
| | demanded demanded is Filed with first appearance by defendant | JUDGE: |
| ! | exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less) (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402) Items 1–6 below must be completed (see instructions on pa | DEPT: |
| | 1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case: | 90 27. |
| ľ | | sionally Complex Civil Litigation |
| | 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 | Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403) |
| Ī | Uninsured motorist (46) Rule 3.740 collections (09) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal injury/Property Other collections (09) | Antitrus/Trade regulation (03) Construction defect (10) |
| } | Other PI/PD/WD (Personal injury/Property Other collections (09) Damage/Wrongfut Death) Tort Insurance coverage (18) | Mass tort (40) |
| | Asbestos (04) Other contract (37) | Securities Illigation (28) |
| l | Product liability (24) Real Property | Environmental/Toxic tort (30) |
| | Medical malpractice (45) Eminent domain/inverse Condemnation (14) | Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case |
| | Other PI/PD/WD (23) Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort Condemnation (14) Wrongful eviction (33) | types (41) |
| | 1000 01 0110 (00.01) | cement of Judgment |
| | Civil rights (08) Unlawful Detainer | Enforcement of judgment (20) |
| |) [] | Haneous Civil Complaint |
| ļ. | Fraud (16) Residential (32) | RICO (27) |
| | Intellectual property (19) Drugs (38) Professional negligence (25) Judicial Review Misco | Other complaint (not specified above) (42) |
| | Other non-PVPD/WD tort (35) Asset forfeiture (05) | ilianeous Civil Petition Partnership and corporate governance (21) |
| | Employment Pelition re: arbitration award (11) | Other petillon (not specified above) (43) |
| | Wrongful termination (38) Writ of mandate (02) | |
| | Other employment (15) Other judicial review (39) | f Count If the count is |
| | This case is is is not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of factors requiring exceptional judicial management: | Court. If the case is complex, malk the |
| | a. Large number of separately represented parties o. Large number of w | Itnesses |
| | | elated actions pending In one or more courts |
| | | tates, or countries, or in a federal court |
| | <u></u> | Igment judicial supervision |
| | | atory or injunctive relief c. punitive |
| | 4. Number of causes of action (specify): (1) One | |
| | 5. This case is is sold is not a class action suit. 6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may under the content of the | ise form CM-0151 |
| | Date: July 7, 2011 | 30 Ioim Om-013.7 |
| | Joshua Carlon | ~ (<u>~</u> |
| , | (TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (SIGNATI | JRE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY) |
| 1 | Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (ex | cept small claims cases or cases filed |
| | under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of | |
| - | in sanctions. • File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule. | |
| | If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you mus | t serve a copy of this cover sheet on all |
| | other parties to the action or proceeding. • Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will | be used for slatistical nuronses only |
| | | Page 1 of 2 |
| | Form Accopied for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007] CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007] | Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2:30, 3:220, 3:400-3:403, 3:740, Cal. Standards of Judicial Administration, std. 3:50 |

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

CM-010

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3,740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3,740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

```
Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property
     Damage/Wrongful Death
Uninsured Molorist (46) (if the
     case involves an uninsured
motorist claim subject to
arbitration, chack this item
     instead of Auto)
```

Other PVPD/WD (Personal Injury) Property Damage/Wrongful Death)

Asbestos (04)

Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death Product Liability (not asbestos or loxic/environmental) (24) Medical Malpractice (45) Medical Malpractice

Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice

Other PI/PD/WD (23) Premises Liability (e.g., slip and lall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism) Intentional infliction of

Emotional Distress Negligent Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PVPD/WD (Other) Tort Business Tort/Unfair Business

Practice (07)
Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil

harassment) (08) Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)

Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19)
Professional Negligence (25)
Legal Malpractice

Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)
Other Non-Pl/PD/WD Ton (35)

Employment Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Contract Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)
Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller

Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)
Negligent Breach of Contract/ Warranty
Other Breach of Contract/Warranty

Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09)

Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections

Case Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18)

Auto Subrogation Other Coverage

Other Contract (37) Contractual Fraud

Other Contract Dispute
Real Property
Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Tille Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenent, or foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31) Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise,

report as Commercial or Residential) Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)
Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)
Writ-Administrative Mandamus

Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter Writ-Other Limited Court Case Review

Other Judicial Review (39) Review of Health Officer Order Notice of Appeal-Labor Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal.

Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403) Antitrus/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Torl (40) Securities Litigation (28)

Environmenta/Toxic Tort (30) Insurance Coverage Claims

(arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment
Enforcement of Judgment (20)
Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)

Confession of Judgment (nondomestic relations) Sister State Judgment

Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes

Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint **RICO (27)**

Other Complaint (not specified above) (42) Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (nonharassment)

Mechanics Lien Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)

Other Civil Complaint (non-torvnon-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition Partnership and Corporate

Governance (21) Other Petition (not specified

above) (43) Civil Harassment Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse

Election Contest Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief From Late Claim Other Civil Petition

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET

Page 2 of 2

| \cap | \cap | | N | Λ | 1 |
|--------|--------|---|----|---|---|
| U, | K | G | ١N | A | L |

| | | | | | D | ſ | A | 649 | 7 - | <u>/</u> | |
|--------------|----------------|--------------|-----|-----------------|----|---|-----|-----|-----|----------|--|
| SHORT TITLE: | | | • | CASE NUMBER | IJ | 6 | -1. | | | | |
| Baumann V. | Chase Inv. Ser | v. Corp., et | al. | | | | | | | | |

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to LASC Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case fillings in the Los Angeles Superior Court. Item I. Check the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case:

JURY TRIAL? YES CLASS ACTION? LIYES LIMITED CASE? LIYES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL 10-15 HOURS! @ DAYS Item II. Select the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps - If you checked "Limited Case", skip to Item III, Pg. 4):

Step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet Form, find the main civil case cover sheet heading for your case in the left margin below, and, to the right in Column A, the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected.

Step 2: Check one Superior Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature of this case.

Step 3: In Column C, circle the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action you have checked. For any exception to the court location, see Los Angeles Superior Court Local Rule 2.0.

Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C below)

- Class Actions must be filed in the County Courthouse, Central District.
 May be filed in Central (Other county, or no Bodily Injury/Property Damage).
 Location where cause of action arose,
 Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred.
 Location where performance required or defendant resides.

- Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle.
 Location where petitioner resides.
 Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly.
 Location where one or more of the parties reside.
 Location of Labor Commissioner Office.

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration

| t | A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No. | B Type of Action (Check only one) | C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| Auto Tort | Auto (22) | A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death | 1., 2., 4. |
| Au | Uninsured Motorist (46) | ☐ A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death ~ Uninsured Motorist | 1., 2., 4, |
| ort | Asbestos (04) | ☐ A6070 Asbestos Property Damage ☐ A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death | 2. 2. |
| Death Tort | Product Liability (24) | A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) | 1., 2., 3., 4., 8. |
| | Medical Malpractice (45) | A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice | 1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4. |
| Damage/Wrongful | Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23) | □ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., sllp and fail) □ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandatism, etc.) □ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress □ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death | 1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 4. |
| Death Tort | Business Tort (07) | ☐ A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract) | 1., 2., 3. |
| Death | Civil Rights (08) | ☐ A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination | 1,, 2,, 3. |
| Wrongful | Defamation (13) | A6010 Defamation (slander/libel) | 1., 2., 3. |
| Damage/Wrongful | Fraud (16) | ☐ A6013 Fraud (no contract) | 1., 2., 3. |
| Damag | | | · |

CIV 109 03-04 (Rev. 03/06) LASC Approved

Other Personal Injury/Property

-Non-Personal/Injury/Property

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

LASC, rule 2.0 Page 1 of 4

| รหอสากเล Baumann v. Chase | Inv. Serv. Corp., et al. | |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| A Civil Case Cover Sheet Calegory No. | B Type of Action (Check only one) | C Applicable Reason -See Step 3 Abov |
| Professional Negligence | ☐ A6017 Legal Malpractice | 1., 2., 3. |
| (25) | ☐ A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal) | 1., 2., 3. |
| Other (35) | ☐ A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort | 2.,3. |
| Wrongful Termination (36) | ☐ A6037 Wrongfu) Termination | 1., 2., 3, |
| Other Employment | ☑ A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case | 1.,(2), 3. |
| (15) | ☐ A5109 Labor Commissioner Appeals | 10 |
| Breach of Contract/ | ☐ A6004 Breach of Renta/Lease Contract (not Unlawful Detainer or wrongful eviction) | 2., 5. |
| Warranty (06) | ☐ A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) | 2., 5. |
| (not insurance) | ☐ A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) | 1., 2., 5. |
| | ☐ A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence) | 1., 2., 5, |
| Collections | A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff | 2., 5., 6. |
| (09) | ☐ A5012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case | 2., 5. |
| Insurance Coverage (18) | ☐ A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex) | 1., 2., 5., 8. |
| Other Contract | ☐ A6009 Contractual Fraud | 1., 2., 3., 5. |
| (37) | ☐ A6031 Torlious Interference | 1., 2., 3., 5. |
| | A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence) | 1., 2., 3., 8. |
| Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14) | ☐ A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels | 2. |
| Wrongful Eviction (33) | ☐ A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case | 2., 6. |
| Other Real Property | ☐ A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure | 2., 6. |
| (26) | A6032 Quiet Yille | 2., 6. |
| | ☐ A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure) | 2., 6. |
| Unlawful Detainer- Commercial (31) | ☐ A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction) | 2., 6. |
| Unlawful Detainer- Residential (32) | ☐ A8020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction) | 2., 6, |
| Unlawful Detainer- Drugs (38) | ☐ A6022 Unfawful Detainer-Drugs | 2., 6. |
| Asset Forfeiture (05) | ☐ A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case | 2., 6. |
| Pelition re Arbitration (11) | ☐ A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration | 2., 5. |

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM

AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

CIV 109 03-04 (Rev. 03/06)

LASC Approved

EXHIBIT "A" - PAGE 19

LASC, rule 2.0

Page 2 of 4

| SHORT TITLE Baumann v. Chase In | CASE NUMBER | |
|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No. | B Type of Action (Check only one) | C Applicable Reason See Step 3 Abov |
| | ☐ A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus | 2., B. |
| Writ of Mandate | ☐ A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter | 2. |
| (02) | ☐ A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review | 2. |
| Other Judicial Review (39) | ☐ A6150 Other Writ /Judiclal Review | 2., 8. |
| Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) | ☐ A6003 Antihust/Trade Regulation | 1., 2., 8. |
| Construction Defect (10) | ☐ A6007 Construction defect | 1., 2., 3. |
| Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) | ☐ A6008 Claims involving Mass Tort | 1., 2., 8. |
| Securities Litigation (28) | ☐ A6035 Securitles Litigation Case | 1., 2., 8. |
| Toxic Torl Environmental (30) | ☐ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental | 1., 2., 3., 8. |
| Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41) | ☐ A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only) | 1., 2., 5., 8. |
| | ☐ A6141 Sister State Judgment | 2., 9. |
| Enforcement | ☐ A6160 Abstract of Judgment | 2., 6. |
| of Judgment | ☐ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) | 2., 9. |
| (20) | ☐ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) | 2., 8. |
| . } | A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax | 2., 8. |
| | A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case | 2., 8., 9. |
| RICO (27) | ☐ A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case | 1., 2., 8. |
| | ☐ A6030 Declaratory Relief Only | 1,, 2., 8. |
| Other Complaints | ☐ A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment) | 2., 8. |
| (Not Specified Above) | ☐ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-comple | ₃x) 1., 2., 8. |
| (42) | ☐ A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tert/non-complex) | 1., 2., 8. |
| Partnership Corporation Governance(21) | ☐ A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case | 2., 6. |
| | ☐ A6121 Civil Harassment | 2., 3., 9. |
| · | ☐ A6123. Workplace Harassment | 2., 3., 9. |
| SAL D | ☐ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case | 2., 3., 9. |
| Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) | ☐ A6190 Election Contest | 2. |
| (43) | ☐ A6110 Petition for Change of Name | 2., 7, |
| | ☐ A6170 Petition for Reflef from Lale Claim Law | 2., 3., 4., 8. |
| | ☐ A6100 Other Civil Petition | 2., 9, |

CIV 109 03-04 (Rev. 03/08) LASC Approved CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

LASC, rule 2.0 Page 3 of 4

| SHORT TIVLE; | CASE NUMBER |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------|
| | * |
| Baumann v. Chase Inv. Serv. Corp., et al. | |
| | |

Item III. Statement of Location: Enter the address of the accident, party's residence or place of business, performance, or other circumstance indicated in Item II., Step 3 on Page 1, as the proper reason for filing in the court location you selected.

| REASON: CHECK THE NU WHICH APPLIE | S IN THIS CA | SE | ADDRESS: 15200 West Sunset Boulevard | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------|---|
| CITY: Los Angeles | STATE: | ZIP CODE; 90272 | | · |

Item IV. Declaration of Assignment: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the Stanley Mosk courthouse in the Central
District of the Los Angeles Superior Court (Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and LASC Locat Rule 2.0, subds. (b), (c) and (d)).

Dated: July 7, 2011

(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet form CM-010.
- Complete Addendum to Civil Case Cover Sheet form LASC Approved CIV 109 03-04 (Rev. 03/06).
- 5. Payment in full of the filling fee, unless fees have been waived.
- Signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, JC form 982(a)(27), if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age, or if required by Court.
- Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

1887.7887

EXHIBIT "B"

| 1 | MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LL | .P |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | carrie A. Gonell, SBN 257163 e-mail: cgonell@morganlewis.com 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1750 | |
| 3 | Irvine, CA 92614 Tel: 949.399.7000 | |
| . 4 | Fax: 949.399.7001 | |
| 5 | | • |
| . 6 | Attorneys for Defendants CHASE INVESTMENT SERVICES | |
| 7 | CORP., JPMORGAN CHASE BANK N.A. and JPMORGAN CHASE & CO | D. |
| 8 | UNITED STAT | ES DISTRICT COURT |
| 9 | CENTRAL DIST | RICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 10 | JOSEPH BAUMANN, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated | Case No. |
| 11 | the general public similarly situated, | DECLARATION OF TRESE C. |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | CINTRON IN SUPPORT OF REMOVAL TO THE UNITED STATES |
| 13 | VS. | DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF |
| 14 | CHASE INVESTMENT SERVICES CORP., a Delaware corporation; JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, a | CALIFORNIA |
| 15 | New York corporation: JP | Diversity Jurisdiction and Jurisdiction Under The Class Action Fairness Act |
| 16 | MORGAN CHASE & CO., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 | [28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441, 1446 and 1453] |
| 17 | through 10, inclusive, | [20 0.3.0. 88 1332, 1741, 1740 and 1433] |
| 18 | Defendants. | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | I | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | · | |
| & | | DECL. IN SUPPORT OF REMOVAL |

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW IRVINE

DB2/22611144.2

TO U.S.D.C., CENTRAL DISTRICT

DECLARATION OF TRESE CINTRON

- I, Trese Cintron, declare as follows:
- 1. I currently serve as Vice President, HR Bus Partner Manager II, for Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. ("Chase"). I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein, and if called and sworn as a witness, I could and would testify competently to these facts.
- 2. Chase maintains information about its current and former employees in its PeopleSoft database. The PeopleSoft database is the official record of an employee's official title while employed by Chase. The PeopleSoft database also includes information about an employee's dates of employment, annual compensation earned, and last known address. I have access to this information and have reviewed a report generated from this database of employees who worked as Financial Advisors in California from July 8, 2010 through August 5, 2011.
- 3. From July 8, 2010 through August 5, 2011, Chase employed 699 Financial Advisors in California. Of these, 129 have ended employment with Chase. A copy of a report showing the date of each of Financial Advisor's entry into a Financial Advisor job held during the relevant period, and the end date of his or her employment in a Financial Advisor job, if any, for Financial Advisors employed in California between July 8, 2010 and August 5, 2011, is attached hereto as Exhibit 1. Employees' names have been redacted for privacy.
- 4. Chase pays wages to Financial Advisors in California twice monthly (on the 15th and last day of the month), for a total of 24 pay periods per year.
- 5. Persons employed in Financial Advisor positions in California from July 8, 2010 through August 5, 2011 earned at least \$33,600 per year in base salary.
- 6. Joseph Baumann's Form U4, which is maintained in the ordinary course of business of Chase, shows that he lived in the state of California from at

DB2/22611144.2

¹ The report shows an end date of 12/31/99 where the Financial Advisor remains in the position.

| . 1 | September |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | least November 2000 through July 7, 2010, the date on which Baumann signed the Form. A true and correct copy of the U4 is attached hereto as Exhibit 2. |
| 3 | |
| 4 | I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the |
| 5 | State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 11 th day of |
| 6 | August, 2011 at Chicago, Illinois. |
| 7 | hose lado. |
| 8 | Trese C. Cintron |
| 9 | |
| 10 | 1/12-811/11 |
| 11 | OFFICIAL SEAL |
| 12 | VICTOR D MARTINEZ Notary Public - State of Hillingis |
| 13 | My Commission Expires Oct 7, 2014 |
| . 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 25 | |
| 25 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP | DECLADATION OF THE COMMON OF T |
| ATTORNEYS AT LAW JEVINE | DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF REMOVAL TO U.S.D.C., CENTRAL DISTRICT |

EXHIBIT "1"

Jobcode End 2/31/9 2/31/ 1/30/ Date 08/01/09 08/01/09 04/01/11 07/01/09 07/01/11 01/01/11 10/01/10 12/01/10 07/01/09 07/01/09 11/01/10 07/01/10 09/21/09 12/28/09 06/01/11 12/01/10 12/01/10 12/28/09 04/01/1′ 01/01/11 08/01/10 09/28/09 03/22/10 06/01/10 06/01/11 01/01/11 05/01/11 03/01/11 06/01/10 05/28/10 06/01/11 08/09/10 10/18/10 11/15/10 11/30/10 Effective Jobcode Date Jobcode Desc Financial Adv Assoc Trainee inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate -inancial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor -inancial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor

<u>Financial Advisors in CA (job codes RT6146, 101005, 101006, 101106, 101007</u>

EXHIBIT "B" - PAGE 27

Page 2 of 26

12/31/08 12/31/9 05/31/1 03/31/7 05/31/1 04/30/1 01/31√ 04/01/10 01/01/09 06/09/11 07/01/09 12/01/10 12/01/08 10/01/09 01/10/10 07/01/09 06/01/10 01/01/11 08/01/10 07/01/09 09/01/10 07/01/09 07/31/10 11/01/10 07/01/09 03/01/07 06/01/11 01/01/11 01/01/11 07/01/09 12/01/10 07/01/09 06/27/1 06/01/1 02/01/11 60/90//0 01/01/1 05/01/11 06/01/11 01/01/11 07/01/09 03/01/1 07/01/11 08/01/11 Financial Advisor Associate -inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Advisor Associate "inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate -inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor. Financial Advisor -inancial Advisor Financial Advisor inancial Advisor Financial Advisor inancial Advisor -inancial Advisor Financial Advisor financial Advisor inancial Advisor Financial Advisor inancial Advisor

<u>Financial Advisors in CA (job codes RT6146, 101005, 101006, 101106, 101007)</u>

Page 3 of 26

12/31/9 2/31/9 2/31/9 01/06/10 08/23/10 08/01/10 11/23/09 02/23/10 02/01/11 09/15/09 60/80/60 09/11/09 10/06/09 11/23/09 12/01/10 03/08/10 03/29/10 05/24/10 05/28/10 06/14/10 08/30/10 07/01/11 08/01/11 06/28/10 06/23/10 11/01/10 08/23/10 09/20/10 11/03/10 05/30/11 05/02/1 03/01/11 05/05/17 08/01/11 02/03/1 Financial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Adv Assoc Trainee inancial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Advisor Associate -inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Adv Assoc Trainee inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate "Inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate -inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate -inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate -inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate

<u>Financial Advisors in CA (job codes RT6146, 101005, 101006, 101106, 101007)</u>

Page 4 of 26

05/01/10 09/01/10 04/12/10 08/17/09 07/01/09 07/01/09 07/01/09 03/30/09 07/01/09 03/01/11 01/01/11 10/01/10 07/01/09 60/60/20 08/03/09 09/01/09 09/15/09 09/16/09 60/02/60 08/01/17 01/01/1 60/60/80 09/28/09 07/01/11 60/08/60 11/30/09 2/01/09 07/29/1 04/01/1 01/01/1 05/01/11 11/03/09 12/14/09 12/30/09 08/01//1 PMC-Financial Advisor Associa Financial Adv Assoc Trainee inancial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Advisor Associate -Inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate -inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor. Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor inancial Advisor inancial Advisor

<u>Financial Advisors in CA (job codes RT6146, 101005, 101006, 101106, 101007)</u>

Page 5 of 26

| 08/08/11 08/08/11 08/08/11 08/08/11 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/10 08/08/ | Financial Advisor Associate | 03/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|----------|
| e 06/01/11 e 0 06/01/11 e 0 06/01/11 e 0 06/01/11 e 0 06/02/10 e 0 06/ | Financial Adv Assoc Trainee | 07/01/11 | 12/31/99 |
| e 06/01/11 e 02/26/10 e 03/31/10 e 03/31/10 e 06/02/10 e 06/13/11 e 06/13/11 f 06/02/08 f 06/02/10 f 06/02/11 f 10/02/11 f 06/02/11 f 10/02/11 f 10/02/11 f 11/02/11 f 06/02/11 f 11/02/11 f 06/02/11 f 11/02/11 f 06/02/11 f 11/02/11 f 06/02/11 | Financial Advisor Associate | 08/08/11 | 12/31/99 |
| 6 06/01/11 6 03/31/10 6 03/31/10 6 06/04/10 6 06/04/10 6 06/25/10 6 06/25/10 6 06/25/10 6 06/25/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/10 70/14/11 70/14/11 | Financial Adv Assoc Trainee | 06/01/11 | 08/07/11 |
| e 03/24/10 e 05/28/10 e 06/04/10 e 06/04/10 e 06/04/10 e 06/04/10 e 06/25/10 e 06/25/10 e 06/28/10 e 06/13/11 f 06/01/10 f 06/13/11 f 06/01/10 f 06/28/10 f 06/23/10 f 06/28/10 | Financial Advisor Associate | 06/01/11 | 12/31/99 |
| e 05/28/10 e 06/04/10 e 06/04/10 e 06/04/10 e 06/05/10 e 06/25/10 e 06/13/11 e 01/3/11 f 04/01/10 f 06/25/10 f | Financial Advisor Associate | 02/26/10 | 12/31/99 |
| e 06/04/10 e 06/04/10 e 06/05/10 e 06/30/10 e 06/13/11 f 06/01/10 f 06/33/10 | Financial Advisor Associate | 03/31/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 6 06/04/10 6 06/05/10 6 06/30/10 6 06/30/10 6 06/30/10 6 06/30/10 6 06/30/10 6 06/30/10 6 06/30/10 6 06/30/10 6 06/30/10 6 06/30/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/10 7 10/11/11 7 10/11/11 7 10/11/11 | Financial Advisor Associate | 05/28/10 | 12/31/99 |
| e 06/25/10 e 06/36/10 e 06/37/10 f 06/37/10 f 06/28/10 | Financial Advisor Associate | 06/04/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 6 06/25/10 6 06/30/10 6 06/30/10 6 06/28/10 6 08/30/10 6 08/31/10 6 08/31/10 6 08/31/10 7 08/31/10 7 08/31/10 7 08/31/10 7 08/31/11 7 08/31/11 7 08/31/11 7 08/31/11 7 08/31/10 7 08/31/10 7 08/31/10 7 08/31/10 7 08/31/10 7 08/31/10 7 08/31/10 7 08/31/10 7 08/31/10 7 08/31/10 7 08/31/10 7 08/31/10 7 08/31/10 7 08/31/10 7 08/31/10 7 08/31/11 7 08/31/11 7 08/31/11 | Financial Advisor Associate | 06/07/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 6 06/30/10 6 06/28/10 7 08/30/10 7 08/30/10 8 08/30/10 8 08/30/10 9 08/30/10 9 09/02/10 9 09/02/10 9 09/02/10 9 09/02/10 9 09/02/10 9 09/02/10 9 09/02/08 10/13/11 10/13/11 10/13/11 10/13/11 10/13/11 10/13/11 10/13/11 10/13/11 10/13/11 10/13/11 10/13/11 10/13/11 10/13/11 10/13/11 10/13/11 10/13/11 10/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11/13/11 11 | Financial Advisor Associate | 06/25/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 06/28/10 08/30/10 08/31/10 08/31/10 08/31/10 09/02/10 09/02/10 09/02/10 09/30/10 00/03/11 00/03/11 00/03/11 00/03/10 00/03/10 00/03/10 00/03/10 00/03/10 00/03/10 00/03/10 00/03/10 00/03/10 00/03/11 00/03/11 | Financial Advisor Associate | 06/30/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 9 06/28/10 9 08/3/110 9 08/3/110 9 09/02/10 9 09/02/10 9 09/2/10 9 09/2/110 9 09/30/11 9 04/01/11 0 06/13/11 0 06/13/11 0 06/13/11 0 06/13/11 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 06/28/10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | Financial Advisor Associate | 06/14/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 9 08/30/10 9 08/31/10 9 09/02/10 9 09/02/10 9 09/02/10 9 09/02/10 9 09/02/10 9 09/02/10 9 09/02/10 9 09/02/10 9 09/02/09 9 09/02/09 9 09/02/09 9 09/02/09 9 09/02/09 9 09/02/09 9 09/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 100/02/10 | Financial Advisor Associate | 06/28/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 9 08/31/10 9 09/02/10 9 09/02/10 9 09/02/10 9 10/11/10 9 10/18/10 9 10/18/10 9 09/30/11 9 04/01/10 9 09/02/08 10/01/09 10/01/09 10/01/10 10/02/08 10/01/10 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/08 10/02/01/10 10/02/01/11 | Financial Advisor Associate | 08/30/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 99/02/10 99/02/10 99/02/10 99/02/10 99/02/10 99/02/10 99/02/10 99/02/10 99/02/10 99/02/10 99/02/10 99/02/10 99/02/10 99/02/10 99/02/10 99/02/10 99/02/10 99/02/10 99/02/10 99/02/10 99/02/10 99/02/10 99/02/10 99/02/10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 99/02/10 10 10 90/02/10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1 | Financial Advisor Associate | 08/31/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 99/07/10 9 09/16/10 9 10/17/10 9 10/17/10 9 10/17/10 9 10/17/10 9 10/17/11 9 10/17/11 9 10/17/11 9 10/17/11 9 10/17/11 9 10/17/11 9 10/17/10 9 10/17/10 9 10/17/10 9 10/17/10 9 10/17/10 9 10/17/10 9 10/17/10 9 10/17/10 9 10/17/10 9 10/17/10 9 10/17/10 9 10/17/10 9 10/17/10 9 10/17/10 9 10/17/10 9 10/17/10 | Financial Advisor Associate | 09/02/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 9 09/16/10 9 10/11/10 9 10/11/10 9 09/20/10 9 09/30/10 9 09/30/10 9 09/01/11 9 09/01/10 9 09/01/10 9 09/01/10 9 09/01/10 9 09/01/10 9 09/01/10 9 09/01/10 9 09/01/10 9 09/01/10 | Financial Advisor Associate | 09/02/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 9 09/27/10 10/11/10 9 09/30/10 10/18/10 10/18/10 10/18/10 10/18/10 10/18/10 10/1/11 9 04/01/10 10/1/09 10/1/09 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1/10 10/1 | Financial Advisor Associate | 09/16/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 10/11/10 10/04/10 09/30/10 10/18/10 12/21/10 04/01/11 0 06/13/11 0 06/13/11 0 06/13/11 0 06/13/11 0 06/13/11 0 06/13/10 0 06/23/10 0 06/23/10 0 06/23/10 0 06/23/10 | Financial Advisor Associate | 09/27/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 10/04/10 09/30/10 10/18/10 12/21/10 04/01/11 06/13/11 09/02/08 09/02/08 09/01/10 06/28/10 06/23/10 09/27/10 09/27/10 | Financial Advisor Associate | 10/11/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 09/30/10 10/18/10 12/21/10 04/01/11 00/13/11 00/10/10 00/10/10 00/10/10 00/10/10 00/10/10 00/10/10 00/10/10 00/10/10 00/10/10 00/10/10 | Financial Advisor Associate | 10/04/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 10/18/10 12/21/10 6 04/01/11 6 06/13/11 0 04/01/10 0 09/02/08 0 09/01/10 0 06/28/10 0 06/23/10 0 09/27/10 0 06/23/10 | Financial Advisor Associate | 09/30/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 12/21/10 04/01/11 06/13/11 06/13/11 06/13/11 06/13/11 06/13/11 06/13/11 06/13/10 06/13/10 06/13/10 06/13/10 06/13/10 | Financial Advisor Associate | 10/18/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 04/01/11 06/13/11 04/01/10 01/01/09 09/02/08 09/01/10 04/01/10 06/23/10 09/27/10 | Financial Advisor Associate | 12/21/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 01/31/11 06/13/11 04/01/10 01/01/09 09/02/08 09/01/09 06/28/10 06/28/10 06/23/10 09/27/10 | Financial Advisor Associate | 04/01/11 | 12/31/99 |
| 06/13/11 04/01/10 01/01/09 09/02/08 09/01/09 06/28/10 06/28/10 06/23/10 09/27/10 | Financial Adv Assoc Trainee | 01/31/11 | 03/31/11 |
| 04/01/10 01/01/09 09/02/08 09/01/09 04/01/10 06/28/10 09/27/10 03/31/11 | Financial Advisor Associate | 06/13/11 | 12/31/99 |
| 01/01/09 09/02/08 09/01/09 04/01/10 06/28/10 09/27/10 03/31/11 | Financial Advisor | 04/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 09/02/08 09/01/09 04/01/10 06/28/10 09/27/10 03/31/11 | Financial Advisor Associate | 01/01/09 | 03/31/10 |
| 09/01/09 04/01/10 06/28/10 06/23/10 09/27/10 03/31/11 | Financial Advisor | 09/02/08 | 12/31/08 |
| 04/01/10 06/28/10 06/23/10 09/27/10 03/31/11 | Financial Advisor Associate | 09/01/08 | 12/31/99 |
| 06/28/10 06/23/10 09/27/10 03/31/11 | Financial Advisor Associate | 04/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 06/23/10 09/27/10 03/31/11 07/01/11 | Financial Advisor Associate | 06/28/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 03/27/10 03/31/11 07/01/11 | Financial Advisor Associate | 06/23/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 03/31/11 | Financial Advisor Associate | 09/27/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 07/01/11 | Financial Advisor Associate | 03/31/11 | 12/31/99 |
| | Financial Advisor Associate | 07/01/11 | 12/31/99 |

<u>Financial Advisors in CA (job codes RT6146, 101005, 101006, 101106, 101007) 07-08-2010 to 08-05-2011</u>

Page 6 of 26

| Financial Advisor Associate | 02/101/09 | 12/31/99 |
|-----------------------------|-----------|----------|
| Financial Advisor | 07/01/11 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 02/01/09 | 06/30/11 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 60/02/90 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 09/04/09 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 08/31/09 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 60/80/60 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 09/16/09 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 10/19/09 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 11/02/09 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 04/01/11 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Adv Assoc Trainee | 02/01/11 | 03/31/11 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 11/23/09 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 12/01/09 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 101/04/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 12/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 03/29/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 03/30/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 03/29/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 03/31/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 02/03/10 | 07/24/11 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 05/12/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 02/28/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 06/11/10 | 06/26/11 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 06/28/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 07/31/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 09/30/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 09/27/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 10/05/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 10/25/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 11/18/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 12/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 12/08/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 03/14/11 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 12/27/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 03/04/11 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 06/01/11 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Adv Assoc Trainee | 03/30/11 | 05/31/11 |

Financial Advisors in CA (job codes RT6146, 101005, 101006, 101106, 101007) 07-08-2010 to 08-05-2011

Page 7 of 26

12/31/99 01/01/10 07/01/09 07/01/10 04/01/11 08/01/04 07/01/09 01/10/1/0 12/28/09 09/01/10 05/31/11 01/01/09 12/03/07 11/14/05 12/01/10 01/01/11 11/01/10 05/23/11 11/01/10 11/01/10 03/01/11 08/30/10 05/06/11 06/06/1 05/14/07 01/01/11 07/01/09 06/03/1 01/01/11 06/01/11 10/01/10 07/01/09 10/01/10 07/01/09 60/80/60 08/01/11 07/29/11 Financial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Advisor Associate inancial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Adv Assoc Trainee inancial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Adv Assoc Trainee inancial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor inancial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor inancial Advisor inancial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor

<u>Financial Advisors in CA (job codes RT6146, 101005, 101006, 101106, 101007)</u>

Page 8 of 26

| Financial Advisor Associate | 08/31/09 | 12/31/99 |
|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Financial Advisor Associate | 07/01/11 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Adv Assoc Trainee | 05/01/11 | 06/30/11 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 08/01/11 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 05/01/11 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Adv Assoc Trainee | 03/01/11 | 04/30/11 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 12/28/09 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 12/31/09 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 06/01/11 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 03/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 03/29/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 03/31/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 03/29/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 04/30/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 02/28/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 06/07/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 06/07/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 06/16/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 06/29/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 07/26/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 08/09/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 08/16/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 08/09/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 08/30/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 09/15/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 09/30/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 11/08/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 12/30/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 02/14/11 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 02/01/11 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 03/11/11 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 05/09/11 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 05/10/11 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 05/23/11 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 05/20/11 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 06/06/11 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 08/01/11 | 12/3:1/99 |
| Financial Adv Assoc Trainee | 07/11/11 | 12/31/99 |
| | | |

<u>Financial Advisors in CA (job codes RT6146, 101005, 101006, 101106, 101007)</u> 07-08-2010 to 08-05-2011

Page 9 of 26

| 05/17/10 | 12/31/99 |
|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 14104140 | |
| 2 2 2 | 12/31/99 |
| 09/01/10 | 10/31/10 |
| 07/01/09 | 07/11/10 |
| 08/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 02/01/09 | 07/31/10 |
| 06/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 10/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 12/28/09 | 12/31/99 |
| 01/25/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 07/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 02/01/11 | 12/31/99 |
| 08/01/11 | 12/31/99 |
| 07/01/09 | 07/31/11 |
| 60/80/80 | 12/31/99 |
| 60/60/80 | 12/31/99 |
| 60/80/60 | 12/31/99 |
| 07/01/11 | 12/31/99 |
| 12/30/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 10/12/09 | 12/31/99 |
| 10/26/09 | 12/31/99 |
| 11/23/09 | 12/31/99 |
| 03/01/11 | 12/31/99 |
| 1 01/01/11 | 02/28/11 |
| 12/21/09 | 12/31/99 |
| 12/28/09 | 12/31/99 |
| 12/28/09 | 12/31/99 |
| 04/30/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 01/29/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 06/27/11 | 12/31/99 |
| 02/04/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 02/24/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 02/22/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 02/22/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 03/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 02/26/10 | 12/31/99 |
| 03/29/10 | 12/31/99 |
| | 10/01/10 12/28/09 01/25/10 02/01/11 02/01/11 02/01/11 03/01/11 12/23/09 03/01/11 12/28/09 12/28/09 12/28/09 03/01/11 01/29/10 02/22/10 02/22/10 02/22/10 03/01/10 |

Financial Advisors in CA (job codes RT6146, 101005, 101006, 101106, 101007) 07-08-2010 to 08-05-2011

Page 10 of 26

2/31/9 06/29/10 03/29/10 03/31/10 06/21/10 03/31/10 04/14/10 06/29/10 06/30/10 08/02/10 01/30/10 07/30/10 08/03/10 08/23/10 03/29/10 08/23/10 09/13/10 09/29/10 11/29/10 08/19/10 12/17/10 12/17/10 12/28/10 12/31/10 09/01/10 01/01/09 01/01/11 08/01/10 04/01/10 09/01/10 07/01/09 04/09/08 12/01/10 05/02/11 06/01/11 02/01/11 05/02/1 01/01/11 Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate -inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate -inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate -inancial Advisor inancial Advisor inaricial Advisor inancial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor

<u>Financial Advisors in CA (job codes RT6146, 101005, 101006, 101106, 101007)</u>

Page 11 of 26

2/31/9 12/31/99 05/31/ 10/05/09 08/01/10 12/01/10 07/01/09 07/01/09 07/01/09 05/24/10 06/01/11 06/01/10 07/01/09 60/02/90 08/01/10 09/01/09 60/80/60 09/12/09 09/14/09 03/16/10 02/01/11 01/01/11 07/01/09 60/60/60 09/01/10 06/01/11 04/04/11 11/23/09 10/01/10 01/01/1 07/01/11 08/01/11 08/01/11 11/30/09 12/28/09 06/01/11 04/01/11 01/01/1 Financial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Advisor Associate Financial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate -inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate -inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor inancial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor inancial Advisor

<u>Financial Advisors in CA (job codes RT6146, I01005, I01006, I01106, I01007)</u>

Page 12 of 26

2/31/9 2/31/9 12/31/99 09/15/ 03/01/10 03/15/10 03/30/10 03/29/10 05/28/10 07/01/11 05/28/10 06/14/10 07/30/10 08/30/10 11/05/10 12/10/10 01/25/11 06/28/10 12/15/10 07/01/11 07/26/10 12/02/10 02/22/11 12/01/05 08/01/11 06/01/11 03/22/11 05/06/11 05/03/11 12/28/09 11/10/04 11/22/10 07/01/09 08/03/11 12/01/10 10/01/10 05/17/10 07/01/11 Financial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Advisor Associate inancial Adv Assoc Trainee -inancial Adv Assoc Trainee -inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate -inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor

<u>Financial Advisors in CA (job codes RT6146, l01005, l01006, l01106, l01007)</u>

Page 13 of 26

05/31/ 05/01/10 0/27/09 03/29/10 11/01/10 07/01/09 07/01/09 11/30/10 12/01/10 60/90/20 10/21/09 12/02/09 01/01/11 05/01/11 01/01/11 07/01/09 06/01/10 11/09/09 12/21/09 12/28/09 03/03/10 03/08/10 03/25/10 03/29/10 10/01/10 05/26/10 06/04/10 06/01/11 08/01/11 06/03/11 03/29/10 05/01/11 08/01/10 05/28/10 01/01/1 06/01/1 inancial Advisor Associate Financial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate -inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate -inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate financial Advisor Associate -inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate -inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Financial Advisor inancial Advisor Financial Advisor -inancial Advisor

Financial Advisors in CA (job codes RT6146, 101005, 101006, 101106, 101007)

Page 14 of 26

12/31/99 2/31/9 12/31/9 07/26/10 09/27/10 06/30/11 07/30/10 08/30/10 11/30/10 12/29/10 07/19/10 11/29/10 11/29/10 12/01/10 07/01/11 08/01/11 05/13/11 07/25/11 09/01/10 07/01/09 06/01/11 06/01/10 05/04/09 07/01/09 07/01/09 12/29/09 04/01/10 01/24/11 03/08/11 03/04/11 04/22/11 08/31/09 01/01/11 06/01/11 01/01/11 07/01/10 08/03/09 03/01/1 02/01/11 Financial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate -inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor

Financial Advisors in CA (job codes RT6146, 101005, 101006, 101106, 101007

Page 15 of 26

2/31/99 12/31/9 06/28/10 06/28/10 11/01/10 08/01/11 02/26/10 03/31/10 03/30/10 09/07/10 07/01/09 04/01/11 05/28/10 07/01/09 07/01/09 04/01/10 07/01/09 07/01/09 07/01/09 09/01/10 07/01/09 04/04/11 01/20/11 03/01/11 04/01/10 07/01/09 04/01/10 07/01/09 07/26/11 02/01/11 02/16/11 01/01/11 04/01/10 07/01/09 04/01/10 ndependent Financial Advisor Financial Adv Assoc Trainee -inancial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Adv Assoc Trainee -inancial Adv Assoc Trainee inancial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Advisor Associate -inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate -inancial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Senior Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor -inancial Advisor inancial Advisor Financial Advisor inancial Advisor inancial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor -inancial Advisor

<u>Financial Advisors in CA (job codes RT6146, 101005, 101006, 101106, 101007)</u>

Page 16 of 26

2/31/98 2/31/9 12/31/9 12/31/9 07/01/09 04/01/10 07/01/09 09/01/10 07/01/09 07/01/09 07/01/09 08/01/09 07/01/09 03/01/11 01/01/11 04/01/10 07/01/09 07/01/09 07/01/10 07/01/09 07/01/09 09/01/10 07/01/09 07/01/09 07/01/09 11/01/10 07/01/09 09/01/10 07/01/09 07/01/09 07/01/09 07/11/11 08/01/11 10/01/10 10/01/10 08/01/10 07/01/09 09/01/10 07/01/09 35/01/11 Financial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Adv Assoc Trainee inancial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Senior Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor inancial Advisor Financial Advisor inancial Advisor -inancial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor inancial Advisor inancial Advisor inancial Advisor Financial Advisor 'inancial Advisor Financial Advisor -inancial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor

<u>Financial Advisors in CA (lob codes RT6146, 101005, 101006, 101106, 101007)</u>

Page 17 of 26

12/31/99 07/31/ 08/31/1 04/30/1 ./06/90 07/01/09 07/01/09 07/01/09 07/01/09 07/01/09 60/08/60 07/01/09 09/01/10 07/01/09 07/01/09 07/01/09 02/01/11 07/01/09 07/01/09 07/01/09 08/01/11 07/01/11 07/01/09 03/01/10 07/01/09 03/01/1 01/01/11 05/01/11 03/01/11 07/01/09 07/01/09 01/01/1 08/01/11 07/01/09 07/01/09 01/01/11 05/01/11 07/01/1 04/01/1 01/01/1 Financial Adv Assoc Trainee inancial Adv Assoc Trainee inancial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Adv Assoc Trainee inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Senior Financial Advisor inancial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor -inancial Advisor inancial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor inancial Advisor -inancial Advisor inancial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor -inancial Advisor inancial Advisor inancial Advisor Financial Advisor -inancial Advisor Financial Advisor inancial Advisor -inancial Advisor

<u>Financial Advisors in CA (job codes RT6146, 101005, 101006, 101106, 101007)</u>

Page 18 of 26

| Financial Advisor | 07/01/09 | 12/31/99 |
|-----------------------------|-----------|----------|
| Senior Financial Advisor | 04/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor | 02/101/09 | 03/31/10 |
| Financial Advisor | 02/01/09 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor | 07/01/09 | 12/31/99 |
| Senior Financial Advisor | 04/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor | 02/01/09 | 03/31/10 |
| Financial Advisor | 07/16/10 | 02/28/11 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 07/01/09 | 07/15/10 |
| Financial Advisor | 02/01/11 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 01/01/11 | 01/31/11 |
| Financial Advisor | 08/01/10 | 12/31/10 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 02/10/109 | 07/31/10 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 06/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor | 07/01/09 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor | 02/10/109 | 12/31/99 |
| Senior Financial Advisor | 04/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor | 02/01/09 | 03/31/10 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 09/01/08 | 01/09/11 |
| Financial Advisor | 07/01/09 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor | 07/01/09 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor | 02/101/09 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor | 01/01/09 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 03/31/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 08/01/09 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 05/01/11 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Adv Assoc Trainee | 03/01/11 | 04/30/11 |
| Financial Advisor | 02/01/09 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor | 02/01/09 | 02/28/11 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 02/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor | 02/01/09 | 12/31/99 |
| Senior Financial Advisor | 04/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor | 60/20/80 | 03/31/10 |
| Financial Advisor | 02/01/09 | 08/04/09 |
| Senior Financial Advisor | 02/01/11 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor | 07/01/09 | 01/31/11 |
| Senior Financial Advisor | 02/01/11 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor | 02/01/09 | 01/31/11 |

<u>Financial Advisors in CA (job codes RT6146, 101005, 101006, 101106, 101007)</u> 07-08-2010 to 08-05-2011

Page 19 of 26

03/31/1 12/31/1 11/01/09 07/12/10 04/01/11 07/01/09 07/01/09 07/01/09 12/01/10 07/01/09 05/01/09 07/01/09 07/01/09 07/01/09 07/01/09 07/01/09 07/01/09 09/01/10 06/01/11 04/01/10 08/01/11 05/01/11 07/01/11 07/01/09 1//01/10 07/01/09 07/01/09 07/01/09 07/01/09 09/01/10 07/12/10 03/01/10 01/01/11 05/01/11 03/01/11 05/01/1 03/21/1 Financial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Adv Assoc Trainee inancial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate -inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate -inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Senior Financial Advisor PMC-Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor inancial Advisor inancial Advisor inancial Advisor Financial Advisor inancial Advisor 'inancial Advisor inancial Advisor Financial Advisor inancial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor -inancial Advisor

Financial Advisors in CA (job codes RT6146, 101005, 101006, 101106, 101007)

Page 20 of 26

07/31/1 05/31/ 07/01/09 12/01/10 12/01/10 10/01/10 08/01/11 07/01/09 12/01/10 11/01/10 07/01/09 07/01/09 06/01/10 07/01/09 03/01/11 02/01/11 03/01/11 06/01/11 09/01/10 05/01/11 07/01/09 01/01/10 01/10/1′ 04/01/11 01/01/1 01/01/11 01/01/11 03/16/11 06/01/11 04/01/11 07/01/09 07/01/09 12/01/10 07/01/09 05/01/10 07/01/09 01/01/11 07/01/1 Financial Adv Assoc Trainee inancial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate -inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Senior Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor -inancial Advisor inancial Advisor ⁻inancial Advisor inancial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor -inancial Advisor

<u>Financial Advisors in CA (job codes RT6146, I01005, I01006, I01106, I01007)</u>

Page 21 of 26

| Financial Adv | Financial Advisor Associate | 03/04/40 | 19/34/00 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|----------|
| Senior Financial Advisor | cial Advisor | 09/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor | visor | 07/01/09 | 08/31/10 |
| Financial Advisor | isor | 02/01/09 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor | risor | 02/01/09 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Adv | inancial Advisor Associate | 03/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Adv | Financial Advisor Associate | 08/01/09 | 07/10/11 |
| Financial Advisor | risor | 05/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Adv | Financial Advisor Associate | 07/01/09 | 04/30/10 |
| Financial Adv | Financial Advisor Associate | 12/01/10 | 05/15/11 |
| Financial Advisor | risor | 07/01/09 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor | /isor | 04/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Adv | Financial Advisor Associate | 01/01/09 | 03/31/10 |
| rinancial Adv | Financial Advisor Associate | 10/01/09 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Adv | Financial Advisor Associate | 06/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Adv | rinancial Advisor Associate | 01/10//0 | 10/03/10 |
| Financial Adv | Financial Advisor Associate | 03/01/11 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Adv | Financial Adv Assoc Trainee | 01/01/11 | 02/28/11 |
| Financial Advisor | isor | 03/01/11 | 07/10/11 |
| Financial Adv | Financial Advisor Associate | 01/01/11 | 02/28/11 |
| Financial Advisor | isor | 09/01/10 | 12/31/10 |
| Financial Adv | Financial Advisor Associate | 02/10/10 | 08/31/10 |
| Financial Adv | Financial Advisor Associate | 02/01/11 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Adv | Financial Adv Assoc Trainee | 12/01/10 | 01/31/11 |
| Financial Advisor | isor | 07/01/09 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor | isor | 03/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| rinancial Adv | Financial Advisor Associate | 02/01/09 | 02/28/10 |
| Financial Advisor | isor | 05/01/11 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Adv | Financial Advisor Associate | 01/01/11 | 04/30/11 |
| Financial Advisor | sor | 11/01/10 | 12/31/10 |
| Financial Adv | Financial Advisor Associate | 07/01/09 | 10/31/10 |
| Financial Advi | Financial Advisor Associate | 01/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor | sor | 07/18/11 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advi | Financial Advisor Associate | 07/01/09 | 07/17/11 |
| Senior Financial Advisor | ial Advisor | 02/01/11 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor | sor | 11/01/09 | 01/31/11 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | sor Associate | 07/01/09 | 10/31/09 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | sor Associate | 12/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| | | | |

Financial Advisors in CA (job codes RT6146, 101005, 101006, 101106, 101007) 07-08-2010 to 08-05-2011

Page 22 of 26

2/31/90 01/31/1 07/31/1 01/31/1 12/31/ 07/01/09 12/01/10 07/01/09 07/01/09 07/01/11 08/01/10 06/01/10 07/01/09 07/01/09 10/01/10 07/01/09 02/01/11 01/01/11 01/01/11 08/01/10 02/07/11 09/06/10 09/01/08 12/01/10 01/01/11 08/01/09 02/01/11 05/01/11 11/01/10 1/01/10 09/01/08 01/01/11 08/01/11 06/01/11 01/01/11 07/01/09 01/01/11 06/01/1 08/01/11 Financial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Adv Assoc Trainee inancial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Financial Advisor

<u>Financial Advisors in CA (lob codes RT6146, 101005, 101006, 101106, 101007)</u>

Page 23 of 26

01/31/ 07/01/09 09/01/10 07/01/09 07/01/09 07/01/09 01/01/11 12/01/10 08/01/10 60/60/60 07/01/09 12/01/10 09/01/08 08/01/11 03/01/11 07/01/11 07/101/09 06/01/11 06/01/11 01/01/10 07/01/09 06/01/11 01/01/11 01/01/11 08/01/10 07/01/09 04/01/10 07/01/09 06/01/10 07/01/09 05/01/10 07/01/1 02/01/11 04/18/1 05/01/1 01/01/11 financial Adv Assoc Trainee inancial Adv Assoc Trainee inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Adv Assoc Trainee inancial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate -inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate -inancial Advisor Associate -inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor inancial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor -inancial Advisor -inancial Advisor Financial Advisor -inancial Advisor inancial Advisor inancial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor -inancial Advisor

<u>Financial Advisors in CA (job codes RT6146, I01005, I01006, I01106, I01007)</u>

Page 24 of 26

| Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Associate | 11/01/10 | 12/31/10 |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------|
| Financial Advisor Associate | 03/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor | 60/10//0 | 08/31/10 |
| Financial Advisor | 07/01/09 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 09/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor | 03/01/11 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 01/01/11 | 02/28/11 |
| Financial Advisor | 09/01/10 | 12/31/10 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 02/01/09 | 08/31/10 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 09/16/09 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor | 02/01/09 | 12/31/99 |
| | 09/13/10 | 12/31/99 |
| | 03/16/10 | 12/31/99 |
| | 02/01/08 | 03/15/10 |
| | 04/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 07/01/09 | 03/31/10 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 03/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 03/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Adv Assoc Trainee | 06/01/11 | 07/10/11 |
| Financial Advisor | 01/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 02/101/09 | 12/31/09 |
| Financial Advisor | 04/01/10 | 09/30/10 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 02/10/109 | 03/31/10 |
| Financial Advisor | 01/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 07/01/09 | 12/31/09 |
| rinancial Advisor | 04/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 02/101/09 | 03/31/10 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 07/01/09 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor Associate | 12/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor | 03/01/11 | 12/31/99 |
| | 01/01/11 | 02/28/11 |
| | 09/01/10 | 12/31/10 |
| | 02/01/09 | 08/31/10 |
| | 11/01/09 | 12/31/99 |
| | 10/01/10 | 12/31/99 |
| Independent Financial Advisor | 08/01/09 | 12/31/99 |
| Financial Advisor | | |

Financial Advisors in CA (job codes RT6146, 101005, 101006, 101106, 101007) 07-08-2010 to 08-05-2011

Page 25 of 26

2/31/90 2/31/99 12/31/95 12/31/99 03/31/1 ./90/60 07/01/09 04/01/10 07/01/09 04/01/10 07/01/09 07/01/09 07/101/10 07/01/09 07/01/09 12/01/09 11/01/10 07/01/09 06/01/10 04/01/10 07/01/09 07/01/09 01/01/11 06/01/10 04/01/10 04/01/10 07/01/09 07/01/09 04/01/10 07/01/09 10/01/10 03/01/11 09/01/10 07/01/09 11/16/09 07/01/09 04/01/11 02/01/11 02/01/11 01/01/11 ndependent Financial Advisor ndependent Financial Advisor inancial Advisor Associate inancial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate -inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate inancial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Senior Financial Advisor Senior Financial Advisor Senior Financial Advisor Senior Financial Advisor inancial Advisor Inancial Advisor inancial Advisor Financial Advisor -inancial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor inancial Advisor

<u>Financial Advisors in CA (job codes RT6146, 101005, 101006, 101106, 101007)</u>

07-08-2010 to 08-05-2011

12/31/99 1/30/1 12/31/9 08/31/1 01/31/1 04/01/10 07/01/09 04/01/10 07/01/09 09/01/10 07/01/09 07/01/09 12/01/10 04/01/10 07/01/09 07/01/09 01/01/10 12/01/09 10/01/10 07/01/09 04/01/10 07/01/09 08/01/10 07/01/09 01/01/11 02/01/1 Financial Adv Assoc Trainee Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Financial Advisor Associate Senior Financial Advisor -inancial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor -inancial Advisor Financial Advisor -inancial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor Financial Advisor 07-08-2010 to 08-05-2011

<u>Financial Advisors in CA (job codes RT6146, I01005, I01006, I01106, I01007)</u>

EXHIBIT "2"

Page 8 of 18

Web CRD - U4 Print Preview » All Sections

age of 18. This field should include, for example, nicknames, aliases, and names used before or after marriage,

| Middle Name | Last Name | Suffix |
|-------------|-----------|--------|
| | BRANCE | |
| | BRANCE | |

11. RESIDENTIAL HISTORY

Starting with the current address, give all addresses for the past 5 years. Report changes as they occur.

| | Observed Control | rustal code | 02505 | 26720 | 9006 | |
|---|------------------|-------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|
| : | Country | ¥ 5150 | CA | | USA | |
| : | State | | Ą | , | গ্ৰ | |
| | <u>`</u> | • | WILDOMAR | | LOS ANGELES | |
| | Street | | 23946 DOHENY CIRCLE | DEET CTURE TANKE | SOOT STEWART AVENUE | |
| - | To. | PODECENT | LACSCINI | 05/2008 | 2007/20 | |
| : | From | 8006/50 | 0007 /00 | 09/2000 | 2000 | |

12. EMPLOYMENT HISTORY

(REGISTRATION REQUESTS WITH AFFILIATED FIRMS). Include all firm(s) from Section 3 (REGISTRATION WITH UNAFFILIATED FIRMS). Account Provide complete employment history for the past 10 years. Include the firm(s) noted in Section 1 (GENERAL INFORMATION) and Section 6 for all time including full and part-time employments, self-employment, military service, and homemaking. Also include statuses such as unemployed, full-time education, extended travel, or other similar statuses. Report changes as they occur.

| From | <u>유</u> | Name of <i>Firm</i> or Company | Investment-Related business? | City | State | e Countr | State Country Position | |
|--------|------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|----------|----------|------------------------|---|
| 06/20 | 10 PRESENT | 06/2010 PRESENT CHASE INVESTMENT SERVICES CORP. | © Yes C No | PACIFIC PALISADES | প্ত | NSD | FINANCIAL ADVISOR | |
| 02/20 | 10 06/2010 | 02/2010 06/2010 UNEMPLOYED | Ç Yes . € No | WILDOMAR | ধ | USA | NONE | |
| 10/20 | 02/2010 | 10/2009 02/2010 SIGNATOR INVESTORS, INC. | © Yes C No | ANAHEIM | ర | USA | FINANCIAL | |
| 08/20(| 38 10/2009 | 08/2008 10/2009 UNEMPLOYED | C'Yes G'No | WILDOMAR | ধ | CA USA | NONE | 1 |
| 04/200 | 08/2008 | 04/2007 08/2008 MORGAN STANLEY & CO., INC. | © Yes C No | BEVERLY HILLS | 8 | USA | FINANCIAL | |
| 03/20(| 04/2007 | 03/2006 04/2007 MORGAN STANLEY DW, INC. | ©yes CNo | BEVERLY HILLS | 5 | NSA | FINANCIAL | |
| 10/200 | 02/2006 | 10/2001 02/2006 M.L. STERN & CO., LLC | © Yes C No | BEVERLY HILLS | 5 | . ASN | ACCOUNT | |
| | | | | • | | | EXECUTIVE | |

https://filing.crd.finra.org/FRMa/u4u5/CRD_FRM_U4U5ViewHist.aspx?FR=0&RefNum=005973997816F6D28&form=U4&typ... 7/7/2010

commenced by the service of process as described herein, and that service of an administrative subpoena shall be effected by such service, and that service as aforesaid shall be taken and held in all courts and administrative tribunals to be valid and binding as if personal service further stipulate and agree that any civil action or administrative proceeding instituted by the SEC, CFTC or a jurisdiction may be thereof had been made.

ထံ

- I authorize all my employers and any other person to furnish to any jurisdiction, SRO, designated entity, employer, prospective employer, or prospective employer. I understand that I have the right to request complete and accurate disclosure by the jurisdiction, SRO, designated requirement of notification with respect to any investigative consumer report ordered by any *jurisdiction, SRO, designated entity,* employer, activities, educational background, general reputation, history of my employment and, in the case of former employers, complete reasons nature, by reason of furnishing any of the above information, including that information reported on the Uniform Termination Notice for any agent acting on its behalf, any information they have, including without limitation my creditworthiness, character, ability, business for my termination. Moreover, I release each employer, former employer and each other person from any and all liability, of whatever Securities Industry Registration (Form U5). I recognize that I may be the subject of an investigative consumer report and waive any entity, employer or prospective employer of the nature and scope of the requested investigative consumer report. 5
 - I understand and certify that the representations in this form apply to all employers with whom I seek registration as indicated in Section the extent any information previously submitted is not amended, the information provided in this form is currently accurate and complete. (GENERAL INFORMATION) or Section 6 (REGISTRATION REQUESTS WITH AFFILIATED FIRMS) of this form. I agree to update this form by causing an amendment to be filed on a timely basis whenever changes occur to answers previously reported. Further, I represent that,
 - amendment thereto; I certify that I have reviewed and approved the information to be submitted to any *jurisdiction* or SRO on this Form U4 Application; I agree that I will review and approve all disclosure information that will be filed electronically on my behalf; I further agree to I authorize any employer or prospective employer to file electronically on my behalf any information required in this form or any waive any objection to the admissibility of the electronically filed records in any criminal, civil, or administrative proceeding. 10.

Applicant or applicant's agent has typed applicant's name under this section to attest to the completeness and accuracy of this record. The applicant recognizes that this typed name constitutes, in every way, use or aspect, his or her legally binding signature.

Date (MM/DD/YYYY) 07/07/2010

Signature of *Applicant* JOSEPH EDWARD BAUMANN Signature 15B. FIRM/APPROPRIATE ÉIGNATORY REPRESENTATIONS

approval of such agency, jurisdiction or SRO which hereby is requested, I will not employ the applicant in the capacity stated herein without first To the best of my knowledge and belief, the applicant is currently bonded where required, and, at the time of approval, will be familiar with the statutes, constitution(s), rules and by-laws of the agency, *jurisdiction* or SRO with which this application is being filed, and the rules governing registered persons, and will be fully qualified for the position for which application is being made herein. I agree that, notwithstanding the receiving the approval of any authority that may be required by law.

names of the persons contacted and the date of contact. In addition, I have taken appropriate steps to verify the accuracy and completeness of This firm has communicated with all of the applicant's previous employers for the past three years and has documentation on file with the the information contained in and with this application. https://filing.crd.finra.org/FRMa/u4u5/CRD_FRM_U4U5ViewHist.aspx?FR=0&RefNum=005973997816F6D28&form=U4&typ... 7/7/2010

EXHIBIT "C"

| 1 2 | MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP CARRIE A. GONELL, SBN 257163 cgonell@morganlewis.com |
|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1750 |
| 3 | Irvine, CA 92614 Tel: 949.399.7000 |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Attorneys for Defendants CHASE INVESTMENT SERVICES |
| 6 | CORP., JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., and JPMORGAN CHASE & CO. |
| 7 | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 9 | CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 10 | |
| 11 | JOSEPH BAUMANN, individually, and on behalf of other members of |
| 12 | the general public similarly situated, |
| 13 | Plaintiff, VS. DECLARATION OF CARRIE A. GONELL IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF |
| 14 | CHASE INVESTMENT SERVICES REMOVAL |
| 15 | CORP., a Delaware corporation; JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, a |
| 16 | MORGAN CHASE & CO., a |
| 17 | Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, |
| 18 | Defendants. |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| . 27 | |
| 28 | |
| - | |

MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
IRVINE

DECLARATION OF CARRIE A. GONELL EXHIBIT "C" - PAGE 57

| 1 | I, Carrie A. Gonell, declare as follows: | | | | | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | 1. I am a partner with the law firm of Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP | | | | | |
| 3 | ("Morgan Lewis"), counsel of record for Defendants CHASE INVESTMENT | | | | | |
| 4 | SERVICES CORP., JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., and JPMORGAN | | | | | |
| 5 | CHASE & CO. ("Defendants" or "Chase"). I am licensed to practice law in the | | | | | |
| 6 | State of California and am admitted to practice before this Court. I have personal | | | | | |
| 7 | knowledge of the facts set forth in this Declaration and I could and would testify | | | | | |
| 8 | competently thereto if called upon to do so. I submit this Declaration in support of | | | | | |
| 9 | Defendant's Notice of Removal. | | | | | |
| 10 | 2. Using the data contained in the report attached as Exhibit 1 to Trese C. | | | | | |
| 11 | Cintron's Declaration In Support of Removal, and using Microsoft Excel, | | | | | |
| 12 | employees of my law firm have calculated that the total number of twice-monthly | | | | | |
| 13 | pay periods worked by Chase Financial Advisors from July 8, 2010 to August 5, | | | | | |
| 14 | 2011 was 14,527 pay periods. | | | | | |
| 15 | I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the | | | | | |
| 16 | State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 12th day of | | | | | |
| 17 | August, 2011 at Irvine, California. | | | | | |
| 18 | CAL | | | | | |
| 19 | Carrie A. Gonell | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | |

Morgan, Lewis & **BOCKIUS LLP**

EXHIBIT "D"

JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION

CHARTER NO. 8

ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION

(As Amended June 30, 2008)

For the purpose of organizing an Association to perform any lawful activities of national banks, the undersigned do enter into the following Articles of Association:

FIRST. The title of this Association shall be JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association (the "Association").

SECOND. The main office of the Association shall be in the City of Columbus, County of Delaware, State of Ohio. The general business of the Association shall be conducted at its main office and its branches.

THIRD. The board of directors of this Association shall consist of not less than five nor more than twenty-five persons, the exact number to be fixed and determined from time to time by resolution of a majority of the full board of directors or by resolution of a majority of the shareholders at any annual or special meeting thereof.

FOURTH. There shall be an annual meeting of the shareholders to elect directors and transact whatever other business may be brought before the meeting. It shall be held at the main office or any other convenient place and on such date as the board of directors may designate.

FIFTH. The authorized amount of capital stock of this Association shall be \$1,815,000,000, divided into 150,000,000 shares of common stock of the par value of \$12 each and 15,000,000 shares of preferred stock of the par value of \$1 each; but said capital stock may be increased or decreased from time to time, according to the provisions of the laws of the United States.

In the event of any such increase in the capital stock of this Association by the sale of additional shares or the distribution of additional shares as a stock dividend, each shareholder of this Association (unless otherwise provided by the shareholders' vote or votes authorizing the increase) shall be entitled, in proportion to the number of shares of said capital stock owned by him before such increase, to proportionate rights in respect of such additional shares as follows:

(1) to the extent that such shareholder's proportionate right in respect of such additional shares shall embrace one or more whole shares of such additional shares, to receive (a) in the case of a sale, a transferable warrant entitling the holder to subscribe, within the specified subscription period, for such one or more whole shares of such additional shares or (b) in the case of a stock dividend, a certificate evidencing such one or more whole shares of such additional shares; and

#48681 v4

Page Lof3

(2) to the extent that such shareholder's proportionate right in respect of such additional shares shall embrace a fraction of a share, to receive (a) in the case of a sale, a fractional subscription warrant, conditioned that it shall be void unless, within the specified subscription period, it is combined with other such fractional subscription warrants in the aggregate entitling the holder thereof to subscribe for a whole share or whole shares of such additional shares and such subscription is completed by such holder of such combined fractional warrants or (b) in the case of a stock dividend, a fractional warrant which shall not represent or entitle the holder thereof to any of the privileges of a shareholder of this Association but may be combined with other such fractional warrants in the aggregate entitling the holder thereof to exchange them for a whole share or whole shares of such additional shares and conditioned that the holder exchanging such combined fractional warrants for such whole share or whole shares of such additional shares shall receive any dividends applicable to such whole share or whole shares declared after the date of such fractional warrants and payable in respect of such whole share or whole shares at the time of such exchange.

In the event of an increase in the capital stock of this Association in pursuance of a statutory consolidation to which this Association may be a party, the additional shares shall be issued in such a manner as the contract or plan of consolidation may provide, pursuant to and in contemplation of the statute under which said consolidation is effected.

In the event of an increase in the capital stock of this Association in pursuance of a plan or contract (other than in the case of a statutory consolidation) for the acquisition by this Association of the assets, in whole or in part, and the good will of another banking institution or banker, the additional shares shall be subscribed for by or issued to any persons, firms, trustees or corporations, whether or not shareholders of this Association, as, in its discretion in the execution of such plan or contract, the Board of Directors may approve.

The Association, at any time and from time to time, may authorize and issue debt obligations, whether or not subordinated, without the approval of the shareholders.

SIXTH. The Board of Directors shall appoint one of its members President of this Association, who shall be Chairman of the Board; but the Board of Directors may appoint a director, in lieu of the President, to be Chairman of the Board, who shall perform such duties as may be designated by the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors shall have the power to appoint one or more Vice Presidents; to appoint a Cashier and such other officers as may be required to transact the business of this Association; to fix the salaries to be paid to all officers of this Association; and to dismiss such officers, or any of them; but the Board of Directors may delegate the authority to exercise such powers of appointment, salary determination and dismissal.

The Board of Directors shall have the power to define the duties of officers and employees of this Association, to require bonds from them, and to fix the penalty thereof; to regulate the manner in which directors shall be elected or appointed, and to appoint judges of election; in the event of an increase of the capital stock of this Association to regulate the manner in which such increase shall be made; to make all by-laws that it may be lawful for them to make for the general regulation of the business of this Association and the management of its affairs; and generally to do and perform all acts that it may be lawful for a Board of Directors to do and perform.

#48681 v4

Page 2 of 3

SEVENTH. The board of directors shall have the power to change the location of the main office to any other location permitted under applicable law, without the approval of the shareholders, and shall have the power to establish or change the location of any branch or branches of the Association to any other location permitted under applicable law, without the approval of the shareholders subject to such limitations as from time to time may be provided by law.

EIGHTH. The corporate existence of this Association shall continue until termination according to the laws of the United States.

NINTH. These Articles of Association may be amended at any regular or special meeting of the shareholders by the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the stock of this Association, unless the vote of the holders of a greater amount of stock is required by law, and in that case by the vote of the holders of such greater amount.

In witness whereof, we have hereunto set our hands as of June 30, 2008.

By /s/ James Dimon James Dimon

By /s/ Frank J. Bisignano Frank J. Bisignano

By /s/ Steven D. Black Steven D. Black

By /s/ Michael J. Cavanagh Michael J. Cavanagh

By/s/ Charles W. Scharf Charles W. Scharf

By /s/ James E. Staley
James E. Staley

#48681 v4

Page 3 of 3

EXHIBIT "E"

J P MORGAN CHASE & CO (JPM)

270 PARK AVE 38TH FL NEW YORK, NY 10017 212. 270.6000

10-K

FORM 10-K Filed on 02/28/2011 - Period: 12/31/2010 File Number 001-05805



THOMSON REUTERS

LIVEDGAR Unformation Provided by Thumson Reuters Accelus, ©2011 AliFRigh

www.asionline.com

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

Annual report pursuant to section 13 or 15(d) of The Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010

Commission file number 1-5805

JPMorgan Chase & Co. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

13-2624428 (I.R.S. employer identification no.) 10017

(Zip code)

270 Park Avenue, New York, NY (Address of principal executive offices) Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (212) 270-6000 Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Name of each exchange on which registered

The New York Stock Exchange

The London Stock Exchange The Tokyo Stock Exchange The New York Stock Exchange The New York Stock Exchange

Common stock

Warrants, each to purchase one share of Common Stock
Depositary Shares, each representing a one-four hundredth interest in a share of 8.625% Non-Cumulative
Preferred Stock, Series J
Guarantee of 7.00% Capital Securities, Series J, of J.P. Morgan Chase Capital X
Guarantee of 5.875% Capital Securities, Series K, of J.P. Morgan Chase Capital XII
Guarantee of 6.25% Capital Securities, Series I, of J.P. Morgan Chase Capital XII
Guarantee of 6.20% Capital Securities, Series N, of J.P. Morgan Chase Capital XIV
Guarantee of 6.25% Capital Securities, Series P, of J.P. Morgan Chase Capital XIV
Guarantee of 6.625% Capital Securities, Series S, of J.P. Morgan Chase Capital XIV
Guarantee of 6.625% Capital Securities, Series S, of J.P. Morgan Chase Capital XIV
Guarantee of 6.875% Capital Securities, Series S, of J.P. Morgan Chase Capital XIV
Guarantee of Fixed-to-Floating Rate Capital Securities, Series B, of J.P. Morgan Chase Capital XXVI
Guarantee of Fixed-to-Floating Rate Capital Securities, Series B, of J.P. Morgan Chase Capital XXVI
Guarantee of 6.70% Capital Securities, Series Cc, of J.P. Morgan Chase Capital XXIX
Guarantee of 6.70% Capital Securities, Series Cc, of J.P. Morgan Chase Capital XXIX
Guarantee of 7.20% Preferred Securities of BANK ONE Capital VI
KEYnotes Exchange Traded Notes Linked to the First Trust Enhanced 130/30 Large Cap Index
Alerlan MLP Index ETNs due May 24, 2024
J.P. Morgan Double Short US 10 Year Treasury Futures ETNs due September 30, 2025
J.P. Morgan Double Short US 10 Long Bond Treasury Futures ETNs due September 30, 2025
J.P. Morgan Double Short US 10 Long Bond Treasury Futures ETNs due September 30, 2025
J. P. Morgan Double Short US 10 Long Bond Treasury Futures ETNs due September 30, 2025
J. P. Morgan Double Short US 10 Long Bond Treasury Futures ETNs due September 30, 2025
J. P. Morgan Double Short US 10 Long Bond Treasury Futures ETNs due September 30, 2025
J. P. Morgan Double Short US 10 Long Securities Securities registered pursuant fo Section 12(g) of the A

The New York Stock Exchange NYSE Arca, Inc.
NYSE Arca, Inc.
The NYSE Alternext U.S. LLC Principal Protected Notes Linked to the Dow Jones Industrial Average SM due March 23, 2011

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: none
Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned Issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Syes No
Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing
requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required
to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that
the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No
Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will
not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or

any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b–2 of the Exchange Act.

■ Large accelerated filer

☐ Accelerated file:

■ Non-accelerated files (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

I) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b−2 of the Exchange Act). ☐ Yes ☒ No
The aggregate market value of JPMorgan Chase & Co. common stock held by non-affiliates of JPMorgan Chase & Co. on June 30, 2010 was approximately
\$144,824,681,723.

Number of shares of common stock outstanding on January 31, 2011: 3,983,509,889

Documents Incorporated by reference: Portions of the registrant's Proxy Statement for the annual meeting of stockholders to be held on May 17, 2011, are incorporated by reference in this Form 10–K in response to Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 of Part III.

Form 10-K Index

| Part I | , · | Page |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <u>Item 1</u> | Business Overview Business segments Competition Supervision and regulation Distribution of assets, liabilities and stockholders' equity; | |
| <u>ltem 1A</u> | interest rates and interest differentials Return on equity and assets Securities portfolio Loan portfolio Summary of loan and lending-related commitments loss experience Deposits Short-term and other borrowed funds Risk factors | 304–308 52, 295, 297, 304 214–218, 309 118–138, 220–238, 310–314 139–141, 239–243, 315–316 263–264, 317 264, 299 |
| Item 18 Item 2 Item 3 | Unresolved SEC Staff comments Properties Legal proceedings | 5–12 12 12 12–13 |
| Part II Item 5 | Market for Registrant's common equity, related stockholder | , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Item 6 Item 7 | matters and issuer purchases of equity securities Selected financial data Management's discussion and analysis of financial | 13–14 14 |
| Item 7A Item 8 Item 9 | condition and results of operations Quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk Financial statements and supplementary data Changes in and disagreements with accountants on accounting | 14 14 14 |
| ltem 9A ltem 9B | and financial disclosure Controls and procedures Other information | 14 14 14 |
| Part III Item 10 Item 11 Item 12 | Directors, executive officers and corporate governance Executive compensation Security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management and related stockholder matters | 15 15 |
| tem 13 tem 14 | Certain relationships and related transactions, and director independence Principal accounting fees and services | 16 16 16 |
| Part IV tem 15 EX-12.1 EX-12.2 | Exhibits, financial statement schedules | 16–19 |
| EX-21.1 EX-23.1 EX-31.1 EX-31.2 | | |
| EX-101 SC EX-101 CA EX-101 LA | STANCE DOCUMENT HEMA DOCUMENT LCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT BELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT ESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT | |
| EX-101 DE | FINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT. | |

Table of Contents

Part I ITEM 1: BUSINESS

DVerview
JPMorgan Chase & Co. ("JPMorgan Chase" or the "Firm") is a
financial holding company incorporated under Delaware law in
1968. JPMorgan Chase is one of the largest banking institutions in
the United States of America ("U.S."), with \$2.1 trillion in assets,
\$176.1 billion in stockholders' equity and operations in more than

JPMorgan Chase's principal bank subsidiaries are JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association ("JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A."), a national bank with U.S. branches in 23 states, and Chase Bank a national bank with U.S. branches in 23 states, and Chase Bank USA, National Association ("Chase Bank USA, N.A."), a national bank that is the Firm's credit card-issuing bank. JPMorgan Chase's principal nonbank subsidiary is J.P. Morgan Securities LLC ("JPMorgan Securities"), the Firm's U.S. investment banking firm. The bank and nonbank subsidiaries of JPMorgan Chase operate nationally as well as through overseas branches and subsidiaries, consecutative offices and subsidiary forcing banks.

The bank and nonbank subsidiaries of JPMorgan Chase operate nationally as well as through overseas branches and subsidiaries, representative offices and subsidiary foreign banks.

The Firm's website is www.jpmorganchase.com. JPMorgan Chase makes available free of charge, through its website, annual reports on Form 10–K, quarterly reports on Form 10–C, current reports on Form 8–K, and any amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as soon as reasonably practicable after it electronically files such material with, or furnishes such material to, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). The Firm has adopted, and posted on its website, a Code of Ethics for its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Accounting Officer and other senior financial officers. Business segments

JPMorgan Chase's activities are organized, for management reporting purposes, into six business segments, as well as Corporate/Private Equity. The Firm's wholesale businesses comprise the Investment Bank, Commercial Banking, Treasury & Securities Services and Asset Management segments. The Firm's consumer businesses comprise the Retail Financial Services and Card Services segments.

Card Services segments.

A description of the Firm's business segments and the products and services they provide to their respective client bases is provided in the "Business segment results" section of Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations ("MD&A"), beginning on page 67 and in Note 34 on pages 290–291.

Competition

JPMorgan Chase and its subsidiaries and affiliates operate in a highly competitive environment. Competitors include other banks, brokerage firms, investment banking companies, merchant banks, hedge funds, commodity trading companies, private equity firms, insurance companies, mutual fund companies, credit card companies, mortgage banking companies, trust companies, securities processing companies, automobile financing companies, leasing companies, e-commence and other Internet-based companies, and a variety of other financial services and leasing companies, e-commerce and other Internet-based companies, and a variety of other financial services and advisory companies. JPMorgan Chase's businesses generally compete on the basis of the quality and range of their products and services, transaction execution, innovation and price. Competition also varies based on the types of clients, customers, industries and geographies served. With respect to some of its geographies and products, JPMorgan Chase competes globally; with respect to others, the Firm competes on a regional basis. The Firm's ability to compete also depends on its ability to attract and retain its tompete also depends on its ability to attract and retain its professional and other personnel, and on its reputation. The financial services industry has experienced consolidation and convergence in recent years, as financial institutions involved in a broad range of financial products and services have merged and, in some cases, failed. This convergence trend is expected to continue. Consolidation could result in competitors of JPMorgan Chase gaining greater capital and other resources, such as a broader transport product and continue to the product of the continue of of gaining greater capital and other resources, such as a broader range of products and services and geographic diversity. It is likely that competition will become even more intense as the Firm's businesses continue to compete with other financial institutions that are or may become larger or better capitalized, or that may have a stronger local presence in certain geographies.

Supervision and regulation

The Firm is subject to regulation under state and federal laws in the United States, as well as the applicable laws of each of the various jurisdictions outside the United States in which the Firm does

Recent events affecting the Firm: On July 21, 2010, President Obama signed into law the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act") which will make significant structural reforms to the financial services industry. These changes include the following:

EXHIBIT "F"



CORPORATION FILE DETAIL REPORT

| Entity Name | CHASE INVESTMENT SERVICES CORP. | File Number | 55706778 | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Status | ACTIVE | | | |
| Entity Type | CORPORATION | Type of Corp | FOREIGN BCA | |
| Qualification Date (Foreign) | 10/23/1989 | State | DELAWARE 10/23/1989 | |
| Agent Name | C T CORPORATION SYSTEM | Agent Change Date | | |
| Agent Street Address | 208 SO LASALLE ST, SUITE 814 | President Name & Address | ALAN CHABOT 270 PARK AVE FL 10 NEW YORK NY 100172014 | |
| Agent City | CHIČAGO | Secretary Name & Address | JOHN KRAMER 10 S DEARBORN ST FL 6 CHICAGO IL 606032003 | |
| Agent Zip | 60604 | Duration Date | PERPETUAL | |
| Annual Report Filing Date | 10/05/2010 | For Year | 2010 | |
| Old Corp Name | 03/19/1991 - CHASE INVI 05/08/1997 - CHASE MAN | ESTMENT SERVICES, INC. NHATTAN INVESTMENT SERVICE | S. INC. | |

Return to the Search Screen

Purchase Certificate of Good Standing

(One Certificate per Transaction)

BACK TO CYBERDRIVEILLINOIS.COM HOME PAGE

EXHIBIT "G"





1 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP CONFORMED COPY OF ORIGINAL FILED Los Angeles Superior Sourt CARRIE A. GONELL, State Bar No. 257163 cgonell@morganlewis.com 2 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1750 3 Irvine, CA 92614 AUG 11 2011 Tel: 949.399.7000 Fax: 949.399.7001 4 John A. Stacke, Executive Officer/Clerk 5 Attorneys for Defendants CHASE INVESTMENT SERVICES CORP., 6 JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., and JP MORGAN CHASE & CO. 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 10 11 JOSEPH BAUMANN, individually, and on Case No. BC4464979 behalf of other members of the general 12 public similarly situated, Assigned For All Purposes To: Hon. Maureen Duffy-Lewis - Dept. 38 13 Plaintiff, 14 DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT 15 CHASE INVESTMENT SERVICES CORP., a Delaware corporation: July 8, 2011 Complaint Filed: 16 JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, a New York Trial Date: None Set corporation; JP MORGAN CHASE & CO., 17 a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, 18 Defendants. 19 20 Defendants CHASE INVESTMENT SERVICES CORP., JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, 21 N.A., and JP MORGAN CHASE & CO. ("Defendants" or "Chase")1 answer the Complaint for 22 Enforcement of the Private Attorneys General Act, California Labor Code §§ 2698 et. seq. 23 ("Complaint") of Plaintiff Joseph Baumann ("Plaintiff") as follows: 24 25 26 The Complaint improperly names JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., (misnamed as JPMorgan Chase Bank) and JP 27 Morgan Chase & Co. as defendants. Plaintiff was employed by Chase Investment Services Corp. only, and Defendants reserve all rights and defenses accordingly. 28 ANSWER

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1

2 3

4 5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

Morgan, Lewis & BOCKIUS LLP TTORNEYS AT LAW

GENERAL DENIAL

Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 431.30(d), Defendants deny, generally and specifically, each and every allegation in the Complaint. Defendants further deny, generally and specifically, that Plaintiff, or any other allegedly aggrieved employee, is entitled to the relief requested, or that Plaintiff, or any other allegedly aggrieved employee, has been or will be damaged in any sum, or at all, by reason of any act or omission on the part of Defendants, or any of their past or present agents, representatives, or employees, acting in the course and scope of their employment.

DEFENSES

Defendants also assert the following defenses, without admitting any obligations regarding who bears the burden of proof or persuasion as to any one of them and pray for judgment as set forth below:

FIRST DEFENSE

(California Law Overtime, Minimum Wage, and Rest/Meal Period Exemption)

Plaintiff, and each allegdly aggrieved employee as defined the Complaint, are exempt from the overtime, minimum wage, rest period, and/or meal period requirements under the California Labor Code and the applicable Industrial Welfare Commission wage order or wage orders promulgated under the California Labor Code, including but not limited to, the exemptions set forth in Sections 1(A)(1), 1(A)(2), 1(C) and/or 3(D) of the applicable wage order or wage orders, such as the executive exemption, administrative exemption, the outside sales exemption, and/or the exemption for commissioned employees.

SECOND DEFENSE

(Release)

To the extent that allegedly aggrieved employees have released claims alleged in the Complaint, their claims are barred by those releases.

-2-

ANSWER

1 THIRD DEFENSE 2 (Arbitration) 3 3. Plaintiff's claims and the claims of allegedly aggrieved employees are barred because they are contractually bound to arbitrate all claims against Defendants. 4 5 FOURTH DEFENSE 6 (Res Judicata/Collateral Estoppel) 7 The Complaint, and each purported cause of action contained therein, is barred by 4. .8 the doctrines of res judicata and/or collateral estoppel. 10 FIFTH DEFENSE 11 (Failure to State a Cause of Action) The Complaint, and each purported cause of action contained therein, fails to state 12 5. facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action. . 13 14 SIXTH DEFENSE 15 (Statutes of Limitations) 16 The Complaint, and each purported cause of action contained therein, is barred in 6. 17 whole or in part by all applicable statutes of limitation, including but not limited to California 18 Code of Civil Procedure §§ 338, 339, 340, and 343; and California Labor Code § 203. 19 20 SEVENTH DEFENSE 21 (Laches) The Complaint, and each purported cause of action contained therein, is barred in 22 7. whole or in part by the doctrine of laches. 23 24 **EIGHTH DEFENSE** 25 (Unclean Hands) 26 The Complaint, and each purported cause of action contained therein, is barred in 8. 27 whole or in part by the doctrine of unclean hands. 28 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP -3-ATTORNEYS AT LAW ANSWER DB2/22609857.1

1

2

4 5

6 7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27. 28

MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
IRVINE

NINTH DEFENSE

(Not Appropriate for a Class or Representative Action)

9. The Complaint, and each purported cause of action contained therein, are not proper for treatment as a class action or representative action because, among other reasons: (a) Plaintiff is an inadequate representative of the allegedly aggrieved employees; (b) Plaintiff's counsel will not fairly and adequately represent the allegedly aggrieved employees; (c) Plaintiff cannot establish commonality of claims; (d) Plaintiff cannot establish typicality of claims; (e) the individualized nature of Plaintiff's claims makes a representative action inappropriate; (f) the civil penalty statute pursuant to which Plaintiff asserts his claims required that he prove Labor Code violation(s) on behalf of each and every allegedly aggrieved employee whom he seeks to represent; and (g) adjudication of Plaintiff's claims would require the resolution of complex factual matters.

TENTH DEFENSE

(Due Process/Class Certification/Representative Action)

10. Certification of a class and/or permitting Plaintiff to pursue his claims as a representative action would constitute a denial of Defendants' due process rights in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment and the California Constitution.

ELEVENTH DEFENSE

(Uncertainty)

11. The claims of Plaintiff, and those of other allegedly aggrieved employees, are barred in whole or in part because the Complaint is uncertain in that the purported class definition and/or the definition of the group of aggrieved employees is ambiguous and conclusory.

-4-

ANSWER

1 TWELFTH DEFENSE 2 (Lack of Standing) 3 The Complaint, and each purported cause of action contained therein, is barred for 12. lack of subject matter juridiction to the extent Plaintiff, and other allegedly aggrieved employees, 4 lack standing to bring their claims. 5 THIRTEENTH DEFENSE (Failure to Exhaust Administrative Remedies and/or Take Necessary Steps) The Court has no jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Complaint, or parts 13. 9 thereof, because Plaintiff and/or the allegedly aggrieved employees have failed to exhaust 10 administrative remedies and/or failed to take necessary steps required by the California Labor 11. Code to initiate this Complaint. 12 13 FOURTEENTH DEFENSE 14 (Failure to Mitigate) 15 14. Plaintiff and other allegedly aggrieved employees have failed to mitigate any damages and, to the extent of such failure, any damages or penalties awarded should be reduced 16 17 accordingly. 18 FIFTEENTH DEFENSE 19 (Misjoinder of Parties/Not the Employer) 20 The Claims of Plaintiff and other allegedly aggrieved employees are barred against 15. 21 Defendants to the extent any Defendant was not the employer of Plaintiff and the allegedly 22 aggrieved employees. 23 24 SIXTEENTH DEFENSE 25 (Waiver) 26 The Complaint, and each purported cause of action contained therein, is barred in 16. 27 whole or in part by the doctrine of waiver. 28 Morgan, Lewis & -5-BOCKIUS LLP TORNEYS AT LAW ANSWER

SEVENTEENTH DEFENSE

(Consent)

17. The Complaint, and each purported cause of action contained therein, is barred to the extent Plaintiff, or the allegedly aggrieved employees defined in the Complaint, consented to any alleged activity or conduct.

EIGHTEENTH DEFENSE

(No Waiting Time Penalties)

18. The Complaint fails to state a claim for waiting time penalties under Labor Code § 203 to the extent that no such penalties can continue after the commencement of an action for the penalties.

NINETEENTH DEFENSE

(Penalties Unjust, Arbitrary, and Oppressive, or Confiscatory)

19. Plaintiff and other allegedly aggrieved employees are not entitled to recover any civil penalties because, under the circumstances of this case, any such recovery would be unjust, arbitrary, and oppressive, or confiscatory.

TWENTIETH DEFENSE

(PAGA Action Unconstitutional)

20. Plaintiffs' purported cause of action for pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act, California Labor Code §§ 2698 et. seq. ("PAGA") is barred because provisions of PAGA violate the provisons of the United States and California Constitutions, including, but not limited to, the due process clauses of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

-6-

ANSWER

28

1 TWENTY-FIRST DEFENSE 2 (Due Process/Excessive Fine) 3 21. Although Defendants deny that they have committed or have responsibility for any act that could support the recovery of civil penalties in this lawsuit, if and to the extent any such 4 act or responsibility is found, recovery of civil penalties against Defendants is unconstitutional 5 under numerous provisions of the United States Constitution and the California Constitution, 6 including the excessive fines clause of the Eighth Amendment, the due process clauses of the 7 Fifth Amendment and Section 1 of the Fourteenth Amendment, the self-incrimination clause of 8 the Fifth Amendment, and other provisions of the United States Constitution, and the excessive 9 fines clause of Section 17 of Article 1, the due process clause of Section 7 of Article I, the self-10 incrimination clause of Section 15 of Article 1, and other provisions of the California 11 12 Constitution. 13 TWENTY-SECOND DEFENSE 14 (Constitutional Right to Equal Protection) 15 An award of penalties against Defendants would be an unconstitutional denial of 22. 16 Defendants' rights to equal protection under both the United States and California Constitutions. 17 18 TWENTY-THIRD DEFENSE 19 (Separation of Powers Doctrine). An award of penalties against Defendants would be an unconstitutional violation 20 23. of the separation of powers doctrine under the California Constitution. 21 22. TWENTY-FOURTH DEFENSE 23 (Preemption) 24 24. Plaintiff's claims and the claims of the allegedly aggrieved employees are 25 preempted in whole or in part by federal laws, including but not limited to the Federal Arbitration 26 Act and the Securities Litigation Uniform Standards Act. 27 28 iorgan, Lewis & -7-BOCKIUS LLP TORNEYS AT LAW ANSWER

DB2/22609857.1

TWENTY-FIFTH DEFENSE

(De Minimis)

25. The Complaint, and each purported cause of action contained therein, is barred in whole or in part by the de minimis doctrine.

TWENTY-SIXTH DEFENSE

(Setoff and Recoupment)

26. If any damages have been sustained by Plaintiff, or by any allegedly aggrieved employee as defined in the Complaint, although such is not admitted hereby or herein and is specifically denied, Defendants are entitled under the equitable doctrine of setoff and recoupment to offset all obligations of Plaintiff or allegedly aggrieved employees owed to Defendants against any judgment that may be entered against Defendants.

TWENTY-SEVENTH DEFENSE

(Accord and Satisfaction)

27. The Plaintiff's claims, and the claims of the allegedly aggrieved employees defined in the Complaint, or some of them, are barred in whole or in part by the principles of accord and satisfaction, and payment.

TWENTY-EIGHTH DEFENSE

(Overpayment)

28. To the extent Plaintiff, or any allegedly aggrieved employee as defined in the Complaint, was paid compensation beyond that to which he was entitled while employed by any Defendant, such additional compensation would satisfy, in whole or in part, any alleged claim for unpaid overtime or other monetary relief.

TWENTY-NINTH DEFENSE

(Avoidable Consequences)

29. The claims of Plaintiff and allegedly aggrieved employees defined in the Complaint are barred, or recovery reduced, because: (a) Defendants took reasonable steps to

-8-

ANSWER

DB2/22609857.1

prevent and correct the conduct alleged in the Complaint; (b) Plaintiff and the allegedly aggrieved employees unreasonably failed to use the preventive and corrective measures that Defendants provided; and (c) reasonable use of Defendants' procedures would have prevented at least some of the harm that Plaintiff and the allegedly aggrieved employees suffered, if any.

THIRTIETH DEFENSE

(Business-Related Expenses Never Incurred)

30. Plaintiff's claims, or some of them, are barred in whole or in part because Plaintiff and/or some or all of the allegedly aggrieved employees did not incur unreimbursed business-related expenses or such expenses were not reasonable and necessary.

THIRTY-FIRST DEFENSE

(Not Willful)

31. Plaintiff, and any allegedly aggrieved employee as defined in the Complaint, is barred from recovering penalties or liquidated damages pursuant to, inter alia, California Labor Code § 203 because: (a) Plaintiff has failed to plead facts sufficient to support allegations of willfulness; and (b) neither Defendants nor any agent or employee of Defendants acted willfully in failing to pay wages allegedly due to Plaintiff.

THIRTY-SECOND DEFENSE

(Conduct Reasonable and In Good Faith)

32. If Defendants are found to have failed to pay any amount due to Plaintiff, or any allegedly aggrieved employee as defined in the Complaint, which allegations Defendants deny, Defendants acted at all times on the basis of a good faith and reasonable belief that they had complied fully with California wage and hour laws.

THIRTY-THIRD DEFENSE

(Just and Proper Exercise of Managerial Discretion)

33. The claims of Plaintiff and other allegedly aggrieved employees are barred in that Defendants' actions were a just and proper exercise of management discretion and business

-9-

ANSWER

28

judgment, which were undertaken for a fair and honest reason regulated by good faith under the 1 2 circumstances then existing. THIRTY-FOURTH DEFENSE (No Entitlement to Jury Trial - Certain Claims) Plaintiff is not entitled to a trial by jury on certain claims. 34. 6 7 THIRTY-FIFTH DEFENSE 8 (Improper Remedies) Plaintiff's claims seek improper remedies that may not be recovered pursuant to 35. 10 California Labor Code § 203. 11 12 THIRTY-SIXTH DEFENSE 13 (Attorneys' Fees and Costs Unwarranted) The Complaint fails to state facts sufficient to support an award of attorneys' fees 14 36. 15 or costs. 16 THIRTY-SEVENTH DEFENSE 17 (Reservation of Rights) 18 37. Defendants reserve the right to assert additional defenses as discovery proceeds 19 and it becomes aware of additional facts and circumstances that provide the basis for additional 20 defenses. 21 WHEREFORE, Defendants pray for judgment as follows: 22 That Plaintiff takes nothing by reason of his Complaint; 1. 23 That the Court deny Plaintff's request to proceed as a class or representative action 24 on behalf of other allegedly aggrieved employees; 25 That the Complaint herein be dismissed in its entirety with prejudice, and that 26 judgment be entered in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiff on all causes of action contained 27 in the Complaint; 28 -10-**ANSWER** DB2/22609857.1

BOCKIUS LLP ORNEYS AT LAW

IRVINE

Case 2:11-cv-06667-GHK -FMO Document 1 Filed 08/12/11 Page 104 of 111 Page ID #:104

| _ | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | · · | their costs of suit incurred herein, including reasonable |
| 2 | attorneys' fees, including, but not limite | ed to, pursuant to California Labor Code § 218.5; and |
| 3 | 5. For such other and further | er relief as the Court deems just and proper. |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | Dated: August 12, 2011 | MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | ву_Саши О |
| 8 | | Carrie A. Gonell Attorneys for Defendants |
| 9. | | CHASE INVESTMENT SERVICES |
| 10 | | CORP., JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., and JP MORGAN CHASE & CO. |
| . 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| , 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | .• | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | • | |
| 22 | | |
| . 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP | | -11- |
| ATTORNEYS AT LAW INVINE | | ANSWER |

1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 Baumann v. Chase Investment Services, et al. LASC Case No. BC464979 3 I am a resident of the State of California, County of Orange; I am over the age of eighteen 4 years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1750, Irvine, California 92614. 5 On August 11, 2011, I served on the interested parties in this action the within 6 document(s) entitled: 7 **DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT** 8 BY FAX: (C.C.P. § 1013(a),(e); CRC 2008) - by transmitting via electronic 9 facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m.; I also caused the fax machine to print such record(s) of the 10 transmission. 11 [X]BY MAIL: (C.C.P. § 1013(a)) - by placing the document(s) listed above in a 12 sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set forth below. I am readily familiar with the 13 firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with 14 postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation 15 date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. 16 17 [] BY OVERNIGHT MAIL (C.C.P. § 1013(c)) - By FEDERAL EXPRESS, following ordinary business practices for collection and processing of 18 correspondence with said overnight mail service, and said envelope(s) will be deposited with said overnight mail service on said date in the ordinary course of 19 business. 20 BY CERTIFIED MAIL, RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED - By placing true] ſ 21 copy(ies) thereof in sealed envelope(s) with Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, postage thereon fully prepaid and by causing such envelope(s) to be 22 deposited in the mail at 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1750, Irvine, California 92614. 23 BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE (C.C.P. § 1010.6(a)(6)) - the parties listed below 7 24 were served electronically with the document(s) listed above by e-mailed PDF files on January 11, 2008. The transmission was reported as complete and without 25 error. My electronic notification address is 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1750, Irvine. California 92614. My e-mail address is pmartin@morganlewis.com. 26 27 28 Morgan, Lewis & PROOF OF SERVICE

BOCKIUS LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW IRVINE

Case 2:11-cv-06667-GHK -FMO Document 1 Filed 08/12/11 Page 106 of 111 Page ID #:106

| 1 | Miriam Schimmel, Esq. | Attorneys for JOSEPH BAUMANN |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 2 | Andrew Sokolowski, Esq. | |
| 3 | Joshua Carlon, Esq. Initiative Legal Group APC | |
| 3 | 1800 Century Park East, 2nd Floor | |
| 4 | Los Angeles, CA 90067 Phone: 310.556.5637 | |
| 5 | Fax: 310.861.9051 | |
| 6 | MSchimmel@InitiativeLegal.com | |
| | ASokolowski@InitiativeLegal.com JCarlon@InitiativeLegal.com | |
| 7 | <u>Searton(a)minariversegar.com</u> | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | [X] STATE: I declare under penalty o | f perjury, under the laws of the State of |
| 10 | California, that the above is true and correct. | |
| | [] FEDERAL: I declare that I am empl | loyed in the office of a member of the Bar of |
| 11 | tins court at whose direction this service was made | e, |
| 12 | Executed on August 11, 2011, at Irvine, Ca | difornia. |
| 13 | | Matty Mart |
| 14 | | Patricia Martin |
| 15 | | Tautola Maltin |
| 1 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| H | | • |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 1 | | |
| 2 | | |
| | | |
| .3 | | |
| 4 | | · |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| ĺ | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| | -2- | <u> </u> |
| 1 | PROOF OF SE | RVICE |

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
IRVINE

MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
IRVINE

28

| , Case 2 | 2:11-cv-06667-GHK -FMO Document 1 Filed 08/12/11 Page 108 of 111 Page ID #:108 |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 2 3 | BY E-FILE – I caused such documents to be transmitted by e-file with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system, which will send a notice of electronic filing to the following: |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Miriam Schimmel, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiffs JOSEPH |
| | Miriam Schimmel, Esq. Andrew Sokolowski, Esq. Joshua Carlon, Esq. Initiative Legal Group APC 1800 Century Park East, 2nd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Phone: 310.556.5637 For: 310.861,0051 |
| 6 | Initiative Legal Group APC 1800 Century Park East, 2nd Floor |
| 7 | Los Angeles, CA 90067 Phone: 310.556.5637 |
| 8 | $\ Fax. 310.001.3031$ |
| 9 | MSchimmel@InitiativeLegal.com ASokolowski@InitiativeLegal.com JCarlon@InitiativeLegal.com |
| 10 | |
| 11 | [X] FEDERAL. I declare that I am employed in the office of a member |
| 12 | [X] FEDERAL: I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction this service was made. |
| 13 | Executed on August 12, 2011, at Irvine, California. |
| 14 | TATE |
| 15 | Patricia Martin |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP | -2- |
| ATTORNEYS AT LAW IRVINE | PROOF OF SERVICE DB2/ 22611332.1 |

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge George King and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Fernando M. Olguin.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV11- 6667 GHK (FMOx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on ell defendants (if a removal action is filled, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filled at the following location:

[X] Western Division

J Southern Divisi

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

Case 2:11-cv-06667-GHK -FMO Document 1 Filed 08/12/11 Page 110 of 111 Page ID #:110

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

| <u> </u> | | | VIL COVER | SHEET | | • |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| PLAINTIFFXXCheck | box if you are representing your | self 🔲) | D | EFENDANTS | | |
| | , individually, and on be | | ner C | HASE INVESTMEN | ተ ሪቴው አህርድሪ ርረውው | n Dalayyana anany |
| members of the genera | il public similarly situate | ed | | PMORGAN CHASE | DAMV a Mary Vorte | ., a Delawate corp; |
| A second | p-one primary product | ~- | | | | |
| | | | | PMORGAN CHASE | & CO., a Delaware o | orp, and DOES 1-10 |
| (b) Attorneys (Firm Name, A | Address and Telephone Number. I | if you are repr | esenting A | ttorneys (If Known) | | |
| yourself, provide same.) | | | ۔ ا ۔ | ladina A. Cl 11 Cros | 110000100 | |
| symmam Schimmer | • | | | Carrie A. Gonell, SB | N 257163 | |
| Andrew Sokolowski | • | | 15 | Aorgan Lewis & Boo | ckius LLP | |
| Joshua Carlon | - ADC | | | Park Plaza, Suite 11 | /50 | |
| Instiative Legal Group | or Ind Floor | | | rvine, CA 92614 | | • |
| 1800 Century Park Ea | | | 11 | 'el: 949.399.7000 | | |
| | 67; Tel: 310.556.5637 | <u> </u> | | • | • | |
| II. BASIS OF JURISDICT | YON (Place an X in one box only | r.) | III. CITIZ | ZENSHIP OF PRINCIPA | L PARTIES - For Divers | ity Cases Only |
| • | | | . (Pace | an X in one box for plainti PTF DEF | | |
| 1 U.S. Government Plainti | | (U.S. | Citizen of Th | | | PTF DEF |
| Government Plaintit Government Not a Party) Citizen of This State X 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 4 4 | | | | | | |
| 2 U.S. Government Defend | dant X 4 Diversity (Indicat | a Citiaa-shi- | Citizen of Ar | nother State 2 2 | Incorporated and Princi | pal Place 5 X 5 |
| | of Parties in Item | | | | of Business in Another | pal Place 5 X 5 State |
| | • | | Citizen or Su | bject of a 3 3 | Foreign Nation | |
| | | | Foreign Co | | Torcign (varioti | □ 6 □ 6 |
| IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in | one box only.) . | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| 1 Original X 2 Rem | oved from 3 Remanded fro | ım 🗀 4 Rei | instated or | 5 Transferred from anoth | ner district 🔲 6 Multi | 7.4. 1. 5 |
| | Court Appellate Co | | opened | (specify): | Distri | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | 3 | 1 . | . Litiga | |
| V. REQUESTED IN COM | PLAINT: JURY DEMAND: | X Yes | No (Che | eck 'Yes' only if demanded | in complaint.) | |
| CLASS ACTION under F.R.C | 77 22 V Vos 🗔 No. | | | | • • • | |
| | | | | MONEY DEMANDED IN | | |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (| Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under | which you are | filing and wr | ite a brief statement of caus | e. Do not cite jurisdiction | nal statutes unless diversity.) |
| Plaintiff seeks penalties | | | | | | |
| General Act, Cal. Lab. C | Code Sections 2698 et se | g. for Lab | . Code vio | lations including fai | lure to pay overtim | rivate Attorneys |
| rest breaks, untimety wa | ge davment, deficient w | age staten | nents, and | unreimburged busin | inte to bay overilli | e, provide meat and |
| VII. NATURE OF SUIT (PI | ace an X in one box only.) | | | | obs orpottsoa. | |
| OTHER STATISTICS | CONTRACT STA | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ORES | TORTS | PRISONER | SAN |
| 400 State Reapportionment | 110 Insurance | | AL INJURY | | PETITIONS | L LABOR S |
| 410 Antitrust | 120 Marine | 3 io Air | plane | PROPERTY | 510 Motions to | 710 Fair Labor Standards Act |
| 430 Banks and Banking | 130 Miller Act | L 315 Aiı | plane Product | 370 Other Fraud | Vacate Sentence | |
| 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc, | 140 Negotiable Instrument | | bility | 371 Truth in Lending | * | Relations |
| 460 Deportation | 150 Recovery of Overpayment & | | sault, Libel & nder | 380 Other Personal Property Damage | 530 General | 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & |
| 470 Racketeer Influenced | Enforcement of | 330 Fee | i. Employers | 385 Property Damage | - DOO DOMING CHERRY | Disclosure Act |
| and Corrupt . | Judgment | | bility | · Product Liability | Other | 740 Railway Labor Act |
| Organizations 480 Consumer Credit | 151 Medicare Act | 340 Ma | nne rinc Product | BANKRUPTCY | 550 Civil Rights | X 790 Other Labor |
| 490 Cable/Sat TV | I52 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. | Lia | bility | 422 Appeal 28 USC | 555 Prison Conditio | n Litigation |
| 810 Scientive Service | Veterans) | | tor Vehicle | 158 | FORFEITURE/ | 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. |
| 850 Securities/Commodities/ | 153 Recovery of | | tor Vehicle duct Liability | 423 Withdrawal 28 | PENALTY | Security Act |
| Exchange | Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits | | er Personal | USC 157 CIVICRIGHTS | 610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & | PROPERTY RIGHTS |
| 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 | 160 Stockholders' Suits | Jnji | | 441 Voting | Drug | 820 Copyrights 830 Patent |
| 890 Other Statutory Actions | 190 Other Contract | | sonal Injury- | 442 Employment | ☐ 625 Drug Related | 840 Trademark |
| 891 Agricultural Act | 195 Contract Product | | d Malpractice sonai Injury- | 443 Housing/Acco- | Seizure of | A SUCIAL SECURITY S |
| 892 Economic Stabilization | Liability | | duct Liability | mmodations 444 Welfare | Property 21 US(881 | |
| Act | 196 Franchise | | estos Personal | | 630 Liquor Laws | 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW |
| 893 Environmental Matters | REAL PROPERTY | | ny Product bility | Disabilities - | 640 R.R. & Truck | (405(g)) |
| 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Info. Act | 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure | EGS IMMIG | | Employment 446 American with | 650 Airline Regs | 864 SSID Title XVI |
| 900 Appeal of Fee Determi- | 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment | | uralization | Disabilities - | 660 Occupational Safety/Health | ## 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS |
| nation Under Equal | 240 Torts to Land | App | lication | Other | .690 Other | 870 Taxes (U.S. |
| Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of | 245 Tort Product Liability | | eas Corpus- | 440 Other Civil Rights | | Plaintiff or |
| State Statutes | 290 All Other Real Property | | n Detainee er Immigration | | | Defendant) |
| | i | | ions. | | | 871 IRS - Third Party 26 USC 7609 |
| • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | | ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | CV11-06 | 667-GHK(FMO | 1 22 OBC 1009 |
| OR OFFICE USE ONLY: C | ase Number: | | | <u> </u> | war CHINTENIO | Δ] |
| | MPLETING THE FRONT SID | E OF FORM | CV-71 CON | ADY ETE THE INCOOM. | ATION REQUIESTED D | P1 -0324 |
| AFTER COD | ALLEG THE PROPERTY | o OF FURN | CY-/1, CON | ALTEIT IUP HALOMAI | WEGOESTED B | ELUW. |

Case 2:11-cv-06667-GHK -FMO Document 1 Filed 08/12/11 Page 111 of 111 Page ID #:111 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

| | ES: Has this action | n been previously filed in this | court and dismissed, remanded or closed? X No Yes | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| If yes, list case number(s): | 77 | | | |
| If yes, list case number(s): | | Related Cases | court that are related to the present case? X No Yes | |
| Civil cases are deemed relate | | | | |
| (Check all boxes that apply) | | | se: ated transactions, happenings, or events; or | |
| (Oncor un vonco mac apply) | | | e or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or | |
| | | | ostantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or | |
| • | | | k or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present. | |
| IX. VENUE: (When complete | | | | |
| | | | State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. | |
| Check here if the gove | rnment, its agencies | or employees is a named pla | aintiff. If this box is checked, go to item (b). | |
| County in this District;* | | | California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country | |
| Riverside County | | | Constitute Country Country of the Co | |
| | • | | | |
| (b) List the County in this Dis | strict: California Co | unty outside of this District: | State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. | |
| Check here if the gover | mment, its agencies | or employees is a named det | fendant. If this box is checked, go to item (c). | |
| County in this District;* | | | California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country | |
| | | | Ohio, Delaware, New York and Illinois. | |
| | | | | |
| (c) List the County in this Dis | trict: California Co | unty outside of this District: | State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose. | |
| Note: In land condem | nation cases, use tl | ne location of the tract of la | and involved. | |
| County in this District:* | | | California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country | |
| Los Angeles | | | y ====, v ==== omitoma; q; rusoign country | |
| <u> </u> | | | | |
| * Los Angeles, Orange, San B | ernardino, Riversi | ide, Ventura, Santa Barbar | a, or San Luis Obispo Counties | |
| Note: In land condemnation cas | ses, use the location | of the tract of land involved | | |
| X. SIGNAȚURE OF ATTORN | IEY (OR PRO PER |): Carrie A Gonell. | Date <u>August 12, 2011</u> | |
| | | Came A. Gonen, | ESQ. | |
| or other papers as required b | y iaw. Inis form, a | oproved by the Judicial Confe | information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings erence of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.) | |
| Key to Statistical codes relating | | | <u>, </u> | |
| | | • | | |
| Nature of Suit Code | Abbreviation | Substantive Statement of | Cause of Action | |
| 861 | HIA | All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b)) | | |
| 862 | BL | All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923) | | |
| 863 | DIMC . | All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g)) | | |
| 863 | DIWW | All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g)) | | |
| . 864 · | SSID | All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended. | | |
| 865 | | All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g)) | | |
| | RSI | All claims for retirement (U.S.C. (g)) | (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 | |

CV-71 (05/08)